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ABSTRACT
A Couette Charger (CC) facility has been built to simulate flow electrification processes in transformers, where transformer oil fills the annulus between coaxial cylindrical electrodes that can be bare metal or transformer pressboard covered. The inner cylinder can rotate at speeds giving controlled turbulent flow which brings electric charge to the volume from the electrical double layer at the liquid/solid interfaces. This compact apparatus allows for flexibility in testing various oils and transformer pressboards at controlled temperatures and moisture levels in the oil and pressboard. Flow electrification charge density measurements as a function of inner cylinder speed were performed using an absolute charge sensor (ACS). Transient measurements with a step change in temperature have shown the charge density to change from an initial value to a new steady state value, including cases of polarity reversal, both values dependent on the equilibrium moisture levels in oil and pressboard. Through a model that accounts for diffusion of charge from the paper-oil interface into the bulk of the oil, these measurements are used to deduce the equilibrium wall charge density, a parameter which has been found to describe the electrification at all Reynolds numbers. By applying low frequency (0.5 to 4 Hz) high voltages (field strength up to 1.8 kV/cm peak) across the cylinders of the CC the effects of transformer energization are also simulated. To help understand the effects of energization and to scale laboratory measurements at low voltages and low frequencies to operating transformers, a charge injection model was refined to examine the migration of double layer and injected charge in the imposed sinusoidally time varying electric field. Good fits between this model and measurements are achieved with a three parameter estimation of equilibrium charge density at the solid/liquid interface, injected charge density due to energization, and diffusivity in the diffusive sublayer near the wall.
1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

Electrification has been a past problem in the flow of petroleum liquids in pipes, through filters, and in charge accumulation in storage tanks [1-11]. With changes in the chemical make-up of insulating liquids used to transfer heat and withstand high electric stress, the use of new dielectric materials such as polymers and cellulosic materials, and an increase in the flow speeds for greater cooling, the electrification problem has recently arisen in electric power apparatus [12-24]. Charge separation at interfaces between moving fluid and boundaries with the accumulation of charge on insulators or isolated conductors can lead to high field strengths and electrical discharges. The potential builds up until the rate of charge accumulation equals the rate of charge leakage, or until spark discharges occur. Electrification effects are initiated by fluid flow, whether or not the equipment is energized. Energization usually augments charge separation and exacerbates electrical discharge problems through the insulation. An understanding of electrification requires coupling the laws of electromagnetism, fluid mechanics, heat, and electro-chemistry to describe the four stages of electrification:

1. charge generation,
2. charge transport,
3. charge accumulation, and
4. charge leakage

and to determine how these relate to the observed factors of temperature, moisture, flow rate and turbulence, contaminants and surface active agents, wall surface condition, energization, and flow configuration. What makes understanding difficult is that there is a lack of common denominators in failures. Identical side-by-side transformers have different failure experiences. Trace differences in material properties that are not easily identifiable or controlled, apparently have a strong effect on flow electrification.

1.2 ELECTRIFICATION MEASUREMENT METHODS

There is no standard test procedure for evaluating the charging of flowing dielectric liquids, so that each laboratory has developed their own approach. However, it is important to be aware of artificial effects where flow electrification by the measurement apparatus itself contributes to the measurements. Typical measurement approaches include:

1. Ministatic Tester. This is adapted from electrification measurements in jet fuels to characterize the charge separation process in transformer oils by measuring the electrostatic charging tendency in forcing oil through standard filter paper, a high grade cellulose material chemically similar to transformer paper [25-28]. The oil electrical charging tendency (ECT) is measured by the current from ground necessary to compensate double layer charge entrained in the fluid flow through the filter, or equivalently, measurement of the convection current to the fluid receiver grounded through a low impedance electrometer. The measured current equals the charge density in the oil entering the receiver multiplied by the volume rate of flow through the filter. This apparatus is fast and simple for comparing the relative charging tendencies of different oil samples against a particular material filter. However, it does not seem suited to providing parameters that characterize actual oil-liquid interfaces, especially under operating conditions with energization and/or with transients in temperature, moisture and concentration of other trace materials. The single pass of liquid through the filter does not allow adequate time for the oil and paper to reach moisture or chemical equilibrium.

2. Conducting Probes. A conducting probe placed into the flow results in an open-circuit voltage or short-circuit current but does not provide an unambiguous value of charge density [29] as one may never be certain to the extent to which the probe response is due to impacting charge from the flow, or from charge removed from the probe by the flow by the same charge separation process that is being studied. This objection can also be applied to a charge density probe composed of three equally spaced circular plates [20]. The outer electrodes were grounded and the potential of the floating center electrode was measured by a high-impedance electrometer. Fluid flowing through the probe, even if entering uncharged, will separate some charge from the electrode surfaces, raising the measured potential. There is no way to separate the effects of entering charge due to upstream flow electrification from charge separation within the probe.

3. Isolated Test Pipe Sections. Measurement of the current to an isolated metallic test pipe section gives the difference between the current leaving to that entering [30-32]. Generally, a pipe section simultaneously acts as a relaxation region for entering charge and as a charging region for charge separated within the pipe section. To simulate the transformer duct region, insulating pressboard pipe models have also been used [28]. Measured variables include the streaming current exiting the pipe, leakage currents to conductors or segmented conductors surrounding the pressboard pipe, and the surface potential distribution along the pressboard pipe.
4. Tandem Charge Monitor. Without moving parts, two identical shielded chambers have a dielectric fluid passing through in laminar flow [33]. Each chamber is virtually grounded through electrometers which measure the current to each chamber, from which the charge density in the fluid is computed.

Our work measures the charge density in a fluid dielectric by taking a sample of charged fluid into a Faraday cage to measure the induced image charge via the current from ground required for a short-circuited Faraday cage, or equivalently, measurement of the potential rise of the Faraday cage if it is open circuited. In test pipe measurements, the four electrification stages of charge generation, transport, accumulation, and leakage, spatially overlap, making it difficult to sort out cause and effect. For basic studies, it is desirable to have a flow that is fully developed in both mechanical and electrical senses, which for insulating fluids requires an inconveniently long pipe length.

Our earlier work [34–36] used the Couette Charger (CC) of coaxial cylinders shown in Figure 1 without the absolute charge sensor, but with a small continuous fluid flow to allow charge measurement in the receiver section. The flow path within the CC is closed on itself, so that all parameters must be independent of the azimuthal angle. What appears as a spatial transient in a developing pipe flow appears here as a temporal transient.

Pressure in the fluid reservoir forces the oil through a relaxation chamber (charge trap) so that charge entering the CC is negligibly small. The fluid is charged by the rotation of the inner cylinder and by injection due to applied HV. The charged fluid exits the CC through a shielded metal pipe electrically connected to the receiver tank but insulated from the outer cylinder of the CC by a short length of insulated tube. As charged fluid flows out of the CC into the metal pipe, the convection current is measured by the electrometer connecting the receiver to ground. The electrometer current is the product of the oil charge density and sampling volume rate of flow. With the inner cylinder rotating, the CC isolates the charge generation stage and is a convenient and controllable source of net charge, just as a current source is in an electrical circuit.

The charge density of the fluid is controlled by the rotational speed of the inner cylinder or by the magnitude and frequency of the applied voltage. In our earlier reported work [34–36] charged fluid is delivered at a slow sampling flow rate, independent of the shaft speed as shown in Figure 1. The output current is then measured by summing the currents from the ensuing metal pipe and the receiver. The pipe and metal receiver act as a Faraday cage so that once the charge exits the CC and enters the conducting pipe, it is immediately recorded by the electrometer, whether or not it remains entrained in the fluid or is conducted to the wall by relaxation or mixing. The recorded current also is not affected by charge generation by the flowing fluid within the Faraday cage, as additional charge entrained in the flow is balanced by the image charge remaining on the wall, so that there is no net change in total charge within the Faraday cage.

Central to sorting out electrification processes in the laboratory or in the field, is having an instrument for measuring the net charge density entrained in a liquid. Using the same sampling principles as the CC, the Absolute Charge Sensor also shown in Figure 1 can sample the flow with no net accumulation of fluid independent of the fluid's electrical properties, velocity, and any electrification process within the instrument [37–43]. The ACS periodically transfers a small volume of charged fluid through a shielded sampling probe into an electrically isolated Faraday cage composed of a metal bellows volume driven by a digitally controlled stepper motor. If the fluid is to be returned to the system at a downstream location or to a charge relaxation region, a check valve is used so that the exiting fluid is not in the proximity of the sampling probe. The charge density is calculated from the known filling flow rate and measurement of either the short-circuit current or open-circuit voltage by low or high-impedance electrometers respectively connected to the electrically isolated Faraday cage. For the fluid entering the Faraday cage, streaming currents from flow electrification on the inner surfaces of the probe, check valve, and bellows are not reflected in the measurement as there is no net change in total charge within the ACS.

The system shown in Figure 1 was used simultaneously to measure electrification currents generated by rotation of the inner cylinder by the continuous flow sampling method and with the ACS. On the average, measurements agreed within 1.7% so that the continuous flow measurement approach was no longer used. This allowed the measurement apparatus to be reduced to just the CC and ACS as shown in Figure 2.

1.3 SCOPE OF THIS WORK

The objective here is to measure the fundamental parameters of the flow electrification process that together with the laws of electroquasistatics and physico-chemical hydrodynamics can be used to predict the performance of complex transformer systems. The CC is used because the flow is mechanically and electrically fully developed.
Figure 1.
Couette charging apparatus with the ACS and continuous sampling flow system connected for simultaneous measurements. The outlet metal tube, connected to the CC through an insulating coupling, is electrically connected to the metal fluid receiver to act as a Faraday cage.

Figure 2.
Couette Charger (CC) with electrical terminals connecting inner and outer cylinders for measuring voltage or current [40] or for applying an ac voltage between the cylinders. The Absolute Charge Sensor (ACS) [38, 43] is used to measure the charge density in the turbulent core.

The CC annular gap models the cooling ducts in the interwinding regions of a transformer or the coolant tubes of power semiconductor components used in HVDC valves [30–32]. It also models pumps, plenums, and secondary flows due to spacer blocks, protuberances, and bends.

This paper first reviews the critical time scales and characteristic lengths of electrical and mechanical processes in the CC focusing on turbulent fluid mechanics. Using the simplest electrochemical model where the charge density in the electrical double layer $\rho_w$ at the solid/liquid interface wall is fixed, independent of flow velocity, relationships between the measurable variables of charge density $\rho_t$ in the turbulent core the terminal voltage and terminal current are derived from charge conservation relationships and fitted to measurements in the CC with bare metal and paper-covered cylinders by parameter estimating $\rho_w$ for a best fit between the theory and measurements. Measured variables are the charge density in the turbulent core using the ACS, the short-circuit terminal current, and the open-circuit terminal voltage.

Because of the minimum radius allowed for standard treated pressboard, a larger CC facility was also built with improvements for in situ oil impregnation and vacuum drying of the oil/pressboard system to control moisture levels in oil and paper and for control of the operating temperature during measurements. The turbulent core charge density for various transformer oils with bare metal or various pressboard covered cylinders were measured with the ACS during transient step increases and
decreases in temperature for various initial moisture levels in oil and paper. Certain material combinations and operating conditions would result in charge polarity reversal.

Charge density measurements using the ACS were also performed with ac HV applied across the cylinder for various amplitudes and frequencies. The earlier charge injection model used by Lyon et al. [34-36] is extended here to also include 'equilibrium' electrical double layer charge that migrates in the electric field across a migration based sub-layer to be entrained in the fully mixed turbulent core. The addition of this charge to the charging current of the turbulent core removes the inconsistency of the earlier Lyon et al. analysis which predicted a threshold electric field amplitude before voltage dependent turbulent core charging would occur which was not observed in measurements. With this refined model good fits between turbulent core charge density analysis and data collected for various voltages and frequencies over a wide speed range were obtained by estimating the equilibrium wall charge density \( \rho_w \), the injected charge density due to energisation \( \rho_{inj} \), and the diffusivity in the diffusive sub-layer near the wall.

2. THE COUETTE CHARGERS

The range of speeds at which the inner cylinder can rotate (≤ 2000 rpm) in the CC provides the ability to study flows varying from the laminar to fully turbulent regimes, and the symmetry of the experiment enforces uniformity in the circumferential direction, creating a flow that is fully developed in both mechanical and electrical senses.

2.1 MATERIALS USED IN THE COUETTE CHARGER

An important attribute of the Couette system is the relative ease with which it allows for a meaningful examination of different materials: the small inventory of oil can easily be replaced or altered, and the small surface area exposed in the mixer means that different solid materials can be quickly wrapped on the cylinders. The solid insulations tested are representative of those used in transformers and consist primarily of sheets of pressboard and layers of Kraft paper.

Two different Couette Chargers were built for our experiments, a small and a large one. The small one of aluminum cylinders was used in experiments [34-36] to examine thin layers of Kraft paper. A larger CC of stainless steel cylinders was required to examine commercial pressboard which for standard fabrication procedures could not be rolled less than 6 inches in diameter to fit over the inner cylinder.

Physical and electric constants of room temperature oil and the dimensions in the two CC units are listed in Table 1 for reference.

Pressboards used were EHV-Weidmann T-IV and HIVAL, 0.1 cm thick. They were supplied in a rolled form with the seam left unjoined but prepared for a scarf joint (a gradual tapering on both sides of the seam so that there is no ridge when joined). The pressboard was mounted to the CC cylinders with epoxy and the seam was glued with Imperial Vetak, an oil-compatible white glue supplied by EHV-Weidmann.

The pressboards installed in the CC were generally dried at 90°C for 16 h under a vacuum to remove the moisture that had naturally been absorbed following the drying process used for commercial transformers. Dry oil was then added to the CC while still under vacuum to oil-impregnate the pressboard.

2.2 CRITICAL PARAMETERS

2.2.1 CHARACTERISTIC TIMES

Certain time constants associated with electrification phenomena help explain the transients evident in measurements. These are described below and listed in Table 2 including typical values in the CC units:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Small</th>
<th>Large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oil permittivity</td>
<td>( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>2.2 \times 10^-13</td>
<td>2.2 \times 10^-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil conductivity</td>
<td>( \sigma )</td>
<td>( 10^{-11} ) to ( 10^{-13} ) S/m</td>
<td>( 10^{-11} ) to ( 10^{-13} ) S/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion mobility</td>
<td>( b = 2 \times 10^{-12} ) /q</td>
<td>( 2 \times 10^{-12} ) /q</td>
<td>( 2 \times 10^{-12} ) /q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic viscosity</td>
<td>( \eta )</td>
<td>0.02 Ns/m²</td>
<td>0.02 Ns/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinematic viscosity</td>
<td>( \nu = \nu_D )</td>
<td>( 1.8 \times 10^{-9} ) m²/s</td>
<td>( 1.8 \times 10^{-9} ) m²/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass density</td>
<td>( \rho_m )</td>
<td>900 kg/m³</td>
<td>900 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular diffusion coefficient</td>
<td>( D_m = \kappa T/\eta )</td>
<td>( 2.6 \times 10^{-11} ) m²/s</td>
<td>( 2.6 \times 10^{-11} ) m²/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt number</td>
<td>( S = \nu/D_m )</td>
<td>7.2 \times 10⁶</td>
<td>7.2 \times 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner cylinder radius</td>
<td>( R_1 )</td>
<td>2.54 cm</td>
<td>7.62 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer cylinder radius</td>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>3.81 cm</td>
<td>10.16 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of Couette Charger</td>
<td>( h )</td>
<td>22.9 cm</td>
<td>40.64 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Typical values in typical time formula small Couette system large Couette system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Typical values in small Couette system</th>
<th>Typical values in large Couette system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-precipitation time</td>
<td>( \tau_p = \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho b} )</td>
<td>6500 - 130 s for ( \rho = 3 - 150 \mu C/m^3 )</td>
<td>200 - 0.2 s with ( \sigma = 10^{-10} - 10^{-14} ) S/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relaxation time</td>
<td>( \tau_s = \frac{1}{\sigma} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular diffusion time</td>
<td>( \tau_d = \frac{D_m}{2d} )</td>
<td>5469 s for 1000 rpm ( R = 2800, \delta = 13.5 \mu m )</td>
<td>3886 s for 1000 rpm ( R = 15000, \delta = 7.7 \mu m )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulent development time</td>
<td>( \tau_{dev} = \frac{d^2}{D_T} )</td>
<td>1.20 - 0.47 s for 500 - 1800 rpm or ( R = 1400 - 5040 )</td>
<td>1.4 - 0.53 s for 500 - 1800 rpm or ( R = 7500 - 27000 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Self-precipitation time**

The self-precipitation time \( \tau_p \) of charge with density \( \rho \) and mobility \( b \) is

\[
\tau_p = \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho b} \tag{1}
\]

where the mobility is estimated using an empirical rule (in SI units) for positive ions in insulating dielectrics with absolute viscosity \( \eta \) [44]:

\[
b \approx 2 \times 10^{-11} \frac{m^2}{(Vs)} \tag{2}
\]

For our transformer oils at 21°C, \( \eta = 20 \) cp = 0.020 N s/m² so that \( b \approx 10^{-9} \) m²/(Vs). Typical measured charge densities in transformers vary over the wide range of 1 to 1000 \( \mu C/m^3 \) so that the self-precipitation time varies from 19000 down to 19 s; however, the measured charge densities in our CC experiments are more on the order of 3 to 150 \( \mu C/m^3 \), indicating large self-precipitation times of 6500 down to 130 s. Because of this generally small charge density, this time is typically much longer than the dielectric relaxation time so we can ignore it. This can be equivalently stated that the ohmic conductivity \( \sigma \gg \rho b \).

**Dielectric relaxation time, \( \tau_e \)**

The dielectric relaxation time \( \tau_e \), given by

\[
\tau_e = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma} \tag{3}
\]

can vary by orders of magnitude because of the large variation in ohmic conductivity of insulating oils as a function of parameters not easily controlled. The permittivity of our oils have approximately the constant value of \( \varepsilon = 2.2\varepsilon_0 \) but have ohmic conductivities ranging from \( \sigma = 10^{-13} \) to \( 10^{-10} \) S/m yielding relaxation times of 200 to 0.2 s. This large range of conductivity is due to contamination of the oil from the pressboard and changes in temperature. By raising the temperature from 35 to 70°C, the oil conductivity increases approximately by a factor of five. In our experiments, we have found that operation with T-IV pressboard increases the oil conductivity by as much as a factor of one hundred whereas HVIL increases it by as much as a factor of five. Trace ionic materials are evidently being transferred from the pressboard to the oil during the experiments.

**Molecular diffusion time \( \tau_d \)**

The molecular diffusion time is the time it takes charge with diffusivity \( D_m \) to diffuse across a sublayer of thickness \( \delta \) in a gap of thickness \( d \)

\[
\tau_d = \frac{\delta d}{2D_m} \tag{4}
\]

where \( d = R_2 - R_1 \) is the gap spacing between inner and outer cylinders.

**Turbulent development time \( \tau_{dev} \)**

As a first-order approximation to the time required for the turbulent flow in the Couette Charger to develop, we calculate a diffusion time using the coefficient of turbulent diffusion \( D_T \):

\[
\tau_{dev} \approx \frac{d^2}{D_T} \tag{5}
\]

This time is generally short compared to other system time constants because \( D_T \) greatly exceeds \( D_m \) due to the turbulent mixing processes.
2.2.2 TURBULENT FLUID MECHANICS

As the flow velocity is increased, in addition to a mean flow, the flow profile has rapidly varying fluctuations in time and space. These velocities fluctuate about a mean value with variations generally large compared to the mean value. The fluid paths are extremely complicated due to turbulent fluctuations. The exact flow profile for turbulent flow is not amenable to theoretical description, so analysis relies on empirical measurements and dimensional analysis in terms of the friction velocity

\[ U^* = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_w}{\rho_m}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \( \tau_w \) is the wall shear stress. The wall law gives the mean shear velocity near the wall to first vary linearly with distance \( x \) from the wall [45a]

\[ \frac{v_x}{\nu} = \frac{2 U^*}{\nu} \left( \frac{2 U^*}{\nu} \right)^{0.4} \leq \frac{2 U^*}{\nu} < 5 \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

This velocity profile merges in the transition overlap layer to the logarithmic overlap law

\[ \frac{v_x}{\nu} = \frac{1}{\chi} \ln \left( \frac{2 U^*}{\nu} \right) + 5 \quad \frac{2 U^*}{\nu} > 30 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where \( \chi \approx 0.41 \) is called the von Karman mixing-length constant. The friction velocity of (6) can be computed with empirical correlations for particular flow geometries. G. I. Taylor has correlated the normalized wall stress at the stationary outer cylinder for Couette flow [50]

\[ \frac{\tau_w}{\rho_m(\Omega R_a^2)} \approx 0.1 R^{-1/2} \quad 300 \leq R \leq 10000 \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

in terms of the angular velocity \( \Omega \) (rad/s) of the inner cylinder, the outer cylinder radius \( R_a \), and the fluid Reynolds number \( R \). Using the oil viscosity and CC geometries in Table 1, the Reynolds number for Couette flow

\[ R = \frac{\Omega R_a d}{\nu} \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

at room temperature is 2.8 x the rotational speed in RPM for the small CC and 15.0 x the rotational speed for the large. From (14) in (6)

\[ U^* = 0.32 \frac{\nu}{d} R^{3/4} \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

From (13), the core turbulent diffusion coefficient depends on Reynolds' number as

\[ D_T = 3.2 \times 10^{-2} \nu R^{3/4} \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)
Thus for our oil viscosity of $\nu = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$/s ($\rho_m = 900$ kg/m$^3$) and at a rotational speed of 1000 rpm, the Reynolds number in the small CC is 2800 which gives a turbulent diffusion coefficient of $D_T = 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ m$^2$/s and a turbulent development time of $\tau_{dev} = 0.73$ s. In the large CC with a rotational speed of 1000 rpm, the Reynolds number is 15, 000 which gives $D_T = 7.8 \times 10^{-4}$ m$^2$/s and $\tau_{dev} = 0.83$ s. Thus within the second that the inner cylinder has reached a steady speed of 1000 rpm, the core for either CC is fully mixed and turbulent.

2.2.3 CHARACTERISTIC LENGTH SCALES

Because of the spatial dependence of turbulent fluid flow, there are several important electrical and hydrodynamic length scales. These lengths are summarised in Table 3, which includes typical values of each parameter in our CC systems.

**Debye length, $\lambda$**

The stagnant Debye length results from a balance of the ion diffusion time, $\lambda^2/D_m$, with the relaxation time, $\varepsilon/\sigma$:

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon D_m}{\sigma}} = \sqrt{D_m \tau_s} \quad (18)$$

The molecular diffusion coefficient $D_m$ is related to charge mobility by the Einstein relation:

$$\frac{D_m}{b} = \frac{kT}{\varepsilon} \approx 25 \text{ mV} \quad (19)$$

so that at room temperature our mobility $b \approx 10^{-9}$ m$^2$/V/ (Vs) yields $D_m \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-11}$ m$^2$/s. For our conductivity range of $10^{-13}$ to $10^{-10}$ S/m, the Debye length then ranges approximately from 70 to 2.2 $\mu$m.

**Turbulent Debye length, $\lambda_T$**

If the double layer extends past the diffusion sublayer into the turbulent core, the mixing uniformly disperses the charge over a thickness given by the turbulent Debye length described by the turbulent diffusion coefficient $D_T$.

$$\lambda_T = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon D_T}{\sigma}} \quad (20)$$

Using (17) the conductivity range of $10^{-13}$ to $10^{-10}$ S/m yields a turbulent Debye length from approximately 210 mm to 6.6 mm for a rotational speed of 1000 rpm in the small CC. In the large CC with a rotational speed of 1000 rpm, the turbulent Debye length ranges approximately from 390 to 12 mm. For most of our measurements $\lambda_T > d$ so that the core is fully mixed.

**Viscous sublayer thickness, $\delta_v$**

As the simplest approximation for electrification phenomena, we divide the turbulent flow profile into two regions, a wall viscous sublayer with mean flow described by laminar flow equations, and a turbulent core. The thickness of the viscous sublayer is that distance from the wall $z = \delta_v$ where $\nu_T/\nu \approx 1$. The exact numerical coefficient is picked to best fit measurements so that [45a,51]

$$\delta_v = \frac{5\nu}{\nu^*} \quad (21)$$

which becomes

$$\delta_v = 15.6dR^{-3/4} \quad (22)$$

which is 515 $\mu$m for the small CC and 292 $\mu$m for the large CC at 1000 rpm.

**Diffusion sublayer thickness, $\delta_d$**

The thickness of the diffusion sublayer is similarly defined as that distance where $D_T/D_m \approx \nu_T/D_m \approx 1$, again choosing the numerical coefficient from best fits to empirical measurements [49,52]

$$\delta_d = \frac{11.7\nu}{S^{1/3} \nu^*} = \frac{36.5dR^{-3/4}}{S^{1/3}} \quad (23)$$

which is 13.6 $\mu$m for the small CC and 7.7 $\mu$m for the large CC at 1000 rpm.

These thicknesses differ by factors of the Schmidt number $S$ which generally greatly exceeds unity. Thus for usual dielectric liquids the Schmidt number is large so that $\delta_d < \delta_v$. Within the viscous sublayer, the kinematic viscosity is taken to be $\nu$ while outside the sublayer the kinematic viscosity is $\nu_T = D_T$ given by (11), (12) or (13). Within the diffusive sublayer the diffusivity is given by the molecular diffusion coefficient $D_m$, while outside the sublayer the diffusivity is given by $D_T = \nu_T$.

**Migration length, $\delta_{mig}$**

The maximum distance that charge can migrate in an ac electric field with amplitude $E_0$ and frequency $\omega$ is

$$\delta_{mig} = 2bE_0/\omega \quad (24)$$

This length is important for energisation charging where the electric field drives the electrical double layer charge into the strongly mixed turbulent core.
Typical Values in Length Formulas for Small Couette System" Table 3. Characteristic room temperature electrical and fluid mechanical lengths in the Couette systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Typical Values in Small Couette System</th>
<th>Typical Values in Large Couette System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debye</td>
<td>$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{DE_m}{\sigma}}$</td>
<td>$\sigma = 10^{-13} - 10^{-10}$ $\text{g/m}$</td>
<td>$\sigma = 10^{-13} - 10^{-10}$ $\text{g/m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$D_{\text{Debye}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$</td>
<td>$D_{\text{Debye}} = 7.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulent Debye</td>
<td>$\lambda_T = \sqrt{\frac{DE_T}{\sigma}}$</td>
<td>$\sigma = 10^{-13} - 10^{-10}$ $\text{g/m}$</td>
<td>$\sigma = 10^{-13} - 10^{-10}$ $\text{g/m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$D_{\text{Turbulent}} = 2.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$</td>
<td>$D_{\text{Turbulent}} = 7.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscous Sub-Layer</td>
<td>$\delta_s = \frac{v_0}{u_{\text{rms}}}$</td>
<td>(d = 12.7 mm)</td>
<td>(d = 25.4 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td>$= 15.4d/\sqrt{\text{Pr}}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$= 15.4d/\sqrt{\text{Pr}}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion Sub-Layer</td>
<td>$\delta_d = \frac{1.7v}{\sqrt{\text{Pr} / \nu}}$</td>
<td>54 - 9 $\mu$m for</td>
<td>13 - 5 $\mu$m for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td>$= 54.5d/\sqrt{\text{Pr} / \nu}$</td>
<td>$= 54.5d/\sqrt{\text{Pr} / \nu}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration Length</td>
<td>$\delta_{\text{mig}} = 2\beta \delta_d / \nu$</td>
<td>105 $\mu$m for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration Sub-Layer</td>
<td>$\delta_s = 63dR^{-3/4} \left( \beta \delta_d / \nu \right)^{1/2}$</td>
<td>63 $\mu$m for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td>$E_s = 0.5 \times 10^8$ $\text{V/m}$ and</td>
<td>$E_s = 0.5 \times 10^8$ $\text{V/m}$ and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000 rpm</td>
<td>1000 rpm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Migration sub-layer thickness, $\delta_s$

Charge within the electrical double layer will migrate in an electric field of amplitude $E_s$ with a velocity $bE_s$. The charge migration sublayer thickness is defined as the distance from the wall when the peak migration velocity just equals the fluid fluctuation rms velocity component perpendicular to the wall ($u_{\text{rms}}$) given in (9)

$$bE_s = \sqrt{v^2_0} = 0.008(\delta_s / \nu)\left( \frac{T_a}{\rho_m} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= 2.53 \times 10^{-4} \delta_s^2 \nu R^{9/4} / d^3$$

Migrating charge which crosses this sublayer becomes entrained into the turbulent core flow.

2.3 COUETTE FLUID MECHANICS

2.3.1 FLOW REGIMES

The flow distributions in the CC with the inner cylinder rotating have three regimes: laminar, cellular convection, and turbulent. The rotational speeds for each of these regimes for both the small and large CC are approximately calculated from the Taylor number [45b, pp. 423-426] $T_a$ for a small gap:

$$T_a = \frac{Rd^2 \Omega^2}{\nu^2}$$

Laminar flow

Applies to low rotational speeds such that $T_a < 1708$, with no radial velocity component. (Small CC, $\Omega < 31$ rpm; Large CC, $\Omega < 6$ rpm).

Cellular convection

As the speed passes through a (relatively low) critical value, the flow experiences a type of instability not found in pipe flows: the smooth laminar flow breaks up into three-dimensional convection cells. This occurs for Taylor numbers $1708 < T_a < 160000$. (Small CC, 31 rpm < $\Omega < 301$ rpm; Large CC, 6 rpm < $\Omega < 62$ rpm).

Turbulence

As the rotational speed increases past the critical value, the cells begin to break up and eventually give way to fully developed turbulent flow. This occurs for Taylor numbers $T_a > 160000$. (Small CC, $\Omega > 301$ rpm; Large CC, $\Omega > 62$ rpm).

2.3.2 TURBULENT FLOW

With steady rotational speed, the torques on the inner and outer cylinders of length $l$ are equal and related to
the wall shear stresses $\tau_{w1}$ and $\tau_{w2}$ at the oil/cylinder interfaces and respective radii $R_1$ and $R_2$ by

$$\text{Torque} = \tau_{w1} 2\pi R_1^2 l = \tau_{w2} 2\pi R_2^2 l$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)$$

With a fully developed turbulent flow, the diffusion sub-layer thicknesses $\delta_{1,2}$ [49,52] at these interfaces are related to the wall shear stresses $\tau_{w1,2}$, mass density $\rho_m$, molecular diffusion coefficient $D_m$, and kinematic viscosity $\nu$ by

$$\delta_{1,2} = \frac{11.7\nu}{S^{1/2} \sqrt{\tau_{w1,2}/\rho_m}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)$$

Since from (27) the wall shear stresses are in inverse proportion to the square of the radii, (28) shows that the diffusion sub-layer thicknesses are in direct proportion to the cylinder radii, $\delta_1/\delta_2 = R_1/R_2$. The Taylor correlation (14) [50] relates the wall shear stress at the stationary outer cylinder to the inner cylinder rotational velocity $\Omega$ by

$$\tau_{w2} = \tau_{w1} \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^2 = 0.1\rho_m (\Omega R_2)^{3/2} \left(\frac{\nu}{\delta}\right)^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)$$

### 2.4 CHARGE CONSERVATION

A wall volume charge density $\rho_w$ is used to describe the governing electrodynamics in the CC at the oil/cylinder interface. It can be inferred from ACS measurements of the core charge density $\rho_0$.

Conservation of charge

$$\oint_S \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_V \rho \, dV$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_d + \sigma \mathbf{E} = -D \nabla \rho + \sigma \mathbf{E}$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)$$

with current density due to diffusion and ohmic conduction applied to a closed surface $S$ as shown in Figure 3a which contains the volume $V = \pi (R_2^2 - R_1^2)l$ of oil between the cylinders. Because $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ are typically much smaller than $R_1$, the volume of the fully mixed turbulent core where the charge density is assumed to be uniform is approximately the same. A linear profile as shown in Figure 3b of the charge density over the sub-layer thickness is assumed so that (30) yields

$$
\frac{d\rho_0}{dt} = 2 \pi l (J_{d1} R_1 + J_{d2} R_2) - V \rho_0 \sigma / \epsilon
$$

$$J_{d1,2} = (\rho_{w1,2} - \rho_0) D / \delta_{1,2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)$$

where The last term on the right of (31) comes from Gauss’ law which requires that the flux of displacement field through the closed surface to equal the total volume charge.

$$\oint_S \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \int \rho \, dV / \epsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)$$

In the large CC with radii $R_1 = 7.62\text{cm}$ and $R_2 = 10.16\text{cm}$, length $l = 40.64\text{cm}$, oil conductivity $\sigma = 10^{-4}\text{S/m}$, permittivity $\epsilon = 2.2 \epsilon_0$, mass density $\rho_m = 900\text{kg/m}^3$, kinematic viscosity $\nu = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}\text{m}^2/\text{s}$, and molecular diffusion coefficient $D_m = 2.5 \times 10^{-11}\text{m}^2/\text{s}$, the Debye length $\lambda = (\epsilon D_m / \sigma)^{1/2}$ is $22\mu\text{m}$ and the diffusion sub-layer thickness decreases from 43 to $5\mu\text{m}$ as the speed is increased from 100 to $1800\text{rpm}$. The assumption of a linear profile over the sub-layer is somewhat approximate because the Debye length is less than the sub-layer thickness over the low speed ranges. But for speeds $> 247 \text{rpm}$, where the Debye and sublayer lengths are equal, this approximation will give results close to those of more complete analysis. The charge density in the turbulent core is essentially uniform due to the great mixing because the turbulent Debye length $\lambda_T = (\epsilon D_T / \sigma)^{1/2}$ with turbulent diffusion coefficient $D_T = 3.2 \times 10^{-2}\nu R^{3/4}$ ranges from $5.2$ to $15.3\text{cm}$ which is greater than the gap thickness between the cylinders of $2.54\text{cm}$.

Reducing (31), the governing equation for the core charge density is related to the wall charge densities $\rho_{w1,2}$, diffusion times $\tau_{d1,2}$, and dielectric relaxation time $\tau_{e}$ by

$$\frac{d\rho_0}{dt} + \left( \frac{1}{\tau_{d1}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{d2}} + \frac{1}{\tau_e} \right) \rho_0 = \frac{\rho_{w1}}{\tau_{d1}} + \frac{\rho_{w2}}{\tau_{d2}}$$

$$\tau_{d1,2} \equiv \tau_d = \frac{\delta_{1,2} (R_2^2 - R_1^2)}{2 D R_{1,2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)$$

$$\tau_e = \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma}$$

where The diffusion times at the inner and outer cylinders are equal because the sublayer thicknesses $\delta_{1,2}$, are in direct proportion to the radii $R_{1,2}$. In our experiments the inner and outer cylinder walls were covered with the same materials so in continuing analysis we will take the wall charge densities at inner and outer cylinders to be equal, $\rho_{w1} = \rho_{w2} \equiv \rho_w$.

### 2.5 TERMINAL VOLTAGE AND CURRENT

The core charge density $\rho_0$ and coaxial cylindrical geometry also gives rise to a short-circuit current or open-circuit voltage in the external circuit connecting inner and outer cylinders [40].
where $E(r = R_1) = E_1$ is the electric field at the inner cylinder. Substitution of (34) evaluated at the inner cylinder $(r = R_1)$ into (35), using (33) to relate the time derivative of $\rho_o$ to $\rho_o$ and the wall charge densities, together with the resistive terminal constraint $v = iR$ gives the terminal voltage as

$$C \frac{dv}{dt} + \left[ \frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{R_{int}} \right] v = \left( \frac{\rho_o - \rho_w}{\tau_d} \right) \left( \frac{R_2^2 + R_1^2}{R_2^2 - R_1^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\ln(R_2/R_1)} \right)$$

where $C$ is the capacitance and $R_{int}$ is the resistance between cylinders

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\sigma R_{int}} = \frac{2\pi}{\ln(R_2/R_1)}$$

The steady state solution of (33) for the core charge density is

$$\rho_o = \frac{\rho_w}{1 + \tau_d/2\tau_e}$$

If the inner cylinder has been rotating at constant speed for a long time such that $\rho_o$ has reached constant value, (38) shows that the turbulent core charge density is independent of the terminal loading between cylinders. In preliminary measurements using the ACS, $\rho_o$ was found to have a negligible dependence on terminal resistance, decreasing $< 1\%$ when the terminals were switched from open to short circuit. When the charge density is in the steady state, (38) allows us to eliminate $\rho_w$ in favor of the measured charge density $\rho_o$.

The electric field distribution between the cylinders is related to the terminal voltage $v$, radial position $r$, and core charge density $\rho_o$ by

$$E = \frac{\rho_o r}{2\epsilon} + \frac{v - \rho_o(R_2^2 - R_1^2)/4\epsilon}{r \ln(R_2/R_1)}$$

Again using conservation of charge, the terminal current may be evaluated by summing the current densities at either the inner or the outer cylinder which will result in the same expression. The current (evaluated at the inner cylinder) is the sum of the ohmic, diffusion, and displacement current densities multiplied by the area of the cylinder.

$$i = -\left[ \sigma E_1 + \frac{D}{\delta_1} (\rho_w - \rho_o) + \epsilon \frac{dE_1}{dt} \right] 2\pi R_1 l$$

With a low-impedance electrometer ($R \to 0$), (36) with (38) and $i = v/R$ reduces to an equation which relates the terminal short-circuit current to the core charge density

$$i = \frac{-\rho_o \pi l}{2\tau_e \ln(R_2/R_1)} [(R_2^2 + R_1^2) \ln(R_2/R_1) - (R_2^2 - R_1^2)]$$

The short-circuit current responds instantaneously as open circuited terminals are short circuited, while the reverse process (where short-circuited terminals are open
circuited) has a time constant of \( \tau_e \) for the voltage to reach steady state. The ratio of steady state open-circuit voltage to short-circuit current is just \( R_{\text{int}} \). For the polarity convention chosen, as shown in Figures 2 and 3, a positive core charge density will have an open-circuit voltage and short-circuit current that are negative.

Although there is no mean flow in the direction of the generated radial electric field, there are fluctuating velocity components in the radial direction so that the terminal current delivers a small amount of power to a resistive load connected between the cylinders. These measurements and analysis illustrate how turbulent diffusion can do work and hence be the mechanism for mechanical to electrical energy conversion.

3. UNENERGIZED CHARGING MEASUREMENTS

Measurements of the core charge density \( \rho_c \) and terminal current or voltage have been taken in both CC for a variety of oils and materials covering the cylinders. Some of the data was taken with a step change in temperature to examine the effects of moisture dynamics between oil and pressboard. All data were taken with the ACS probe at half the height of the CC to minimize end effects from the CC top and bottom. To examine the spatial uniformity of the core charge density, initial ACS measurements were made with bare aluminum cylinders in the small CC with the probe tip moved radially from the outer wall to gap center. Measurements showed that the charge density spatial distribution was essentially uniform for inner cylinder rotational speeds above 400 rpm. For all subsequent measurements in either CC the probe was placed flush with the inner surface of the outer cylinder when bare metal cylinders were tested. With pressboard-covered cylinders, the probe was placed flush with the inner surface of the pressboard on the outer cylinder. This position minimizes the probe effect on the flow and reduces the electric field at the probe tip when ac voltages are applied.

3.1 DIELECTRIC RELAXATION MEASUREMENTS

The dielectric relaxation times \( \tau_e \) to be reported were the measured time constants of the open-circuit voltage decay when a 22 V battery was disconnected from the terminals of the CC without rotation as shown in Figure 4. For a representative measurement of new Shell oil, the conductivity for a measured dielectric relaxation time of \( \tau_e = 38 \) s is \( \sigma = 0.51 \) pS/m.

This measurement with Shell oil was initially checked by the following additional methods: [39, 42]

1. Measurement of resistance between cylinders using an electrometer in resistance measurement mode and then calculating the ohmic conductivity. For the test sample in the small CC, this measurement gave \( \sigma = 0.75 \) pS/m.
2. Measurement of dc resistance \( R \) and capacitance \( C \) of an open parallel plate capacitor submerged into the oil and calculating the relaxation time from

\[
\tau_e = RC
\]

For the initial test sample this method gave an oil conductivity of \( \sigma = 0.88 \) pS/m.
3. Measurement of the open-circuit relaxation time when the submerged parallel plate capacitor is initially charged and then open circuited. For the test sample the oil conductivity by this method was \( \sigma = 0.59 \) pS/m.
4. Impedance measurement of magnitude and angle at 0.1 Hz across the plates of the submerged capacitor. This measurement gave \( \sigma = 0.97 \) pS/m and \( \epsilon/\epsilon_0 = 2.2 \).

As often occurs for such highly insulating liquids, different measurement methods give slightly different conductivity values. We chose the open-circuit voltage decay time constant method as illustrated in Figure 4 as our standard method for measurement of the dielectric relaxation time. The open-circuit voltage decay method was also used for pressboard-covered cylinders because the thin oil-impregnated pressboard had series resistance much less than the thicker oil gap.

3.2 MEASUREMENTS IN THE SMALL CC WITH BTA

Japanese researchers report a reduction in charging tendency with the use of 10 ppm BTA (1, 2, 3-Benzotriazole) in transformer oil [28], but this antistatic effect has not been observed by U. S. researchers [25–27]. Ieda et al. [53] report that the addition of 50 ppm BTA reduced the charge density of \( \sim 3.2 \) to \( \sim 0.3 \mu C/m^2 \). In our preliminary studies on the effects of BTA on the charging tendency in the small CC with Manning-220 paper-covered cylinders, we found that core charge densities decreased only by about 30% with the addition of 50 ppm BTA [39, 42]. However, the Japanese investigators believe that the beneficial effect of BTA on reducing the electrification hazard is due to suppression of the oxidative degradation of copper and long-term (many months to years) selective adsorption of BTA to paper. In our experiments there was no copper, just the aluminum cylinders of the small CC, and the BTA was in the oil/paper system for
core charge density was at its steady state value. For
least will contact the copper/pressboard cylinder coverings for
each speed, the voltage magnitude increased exponential-
ly with a measured time constant of
pressboard covering. Transformer oil with BTA additive
experiments. With the terminals of the small Couette
Manning-220 paper was used for terminal measurement
between the stainless steel cylinders of the large CC and
only 31 days. Future work will place a copper sleeve be-
tween the stainless steel cylinders of the large CC and
pressboard covering. Transformer oil with BTA additive
will contact the copper/pressboard cylinder coverings for
long enough time to see if BTA has a beneficial effect in
reducing electrification processes.

3.2.1 TEMPORAL TRANSIENT
MEASUREMENTS

Gulf Transcrest H oil with 50 ppm BTA in contact with
Manning-220 paper was used for terminal measurement
experiments. With the terminals of the small Couette
Charger (shown in Figure 2) connected to a low imped-
ance electrometer, the short-circuit current was measured
as shown in Figure 5. A negative current was measured
which increased in magnitude with increasing speed. Fig-
ure 6 shows the transient response of the negative ter-
ninal voltage when switched from an initial short-circuit
condition to an open circuit at \( t = 0 \). For each value
of rotational speed, the speed was held constant for at
least 5 min before the terminals were opened so that the
core charge density was at its steady state value. For
each speed, the voltage magnitude increased exponential-
ly with a measured time constant of 43 s. From (39), this
time constant should be the dielectric relaxation time of
the oil which was estimated by two different methods to
be \( \tau_r \approx 30 \text{ s} \). One of the methods was a dc resistance
measurement, using a Keithley 616 electrometer, across
an open parallel plate capacitor submerged in the oil. The
other measured the time constant of the open-circuit volt-
age decay when a 22 V battery was disconnected from the
terminals of the CC without rotation as in Figure 4. Both
methods gave approximately the same value of conduc-
tivity which was \( \sigma \approx 8 \times 10^{-13} \text{S/m} \). Using \( \varepsilon = 2.2 \text{e}_0 \),
this gives a dielectric relaxation time of \( \tau_r \approx 30 \text{ s} \), some-
what lower than the measured 43 s charging time constant
in Figure 6. Also shown in Figure 6 is the unexplained
much faster open-circuit voltage decay after rotation of the
inner cylinder was stopped. Since the turbulent de-
velopment time is short, the turbulent flow in the gap be-
tween the cylinders will quickly settle when the rotation is
stopped. With essentially no flow in the CC, \( \phi_{1,2} \) become
large so that the diffusion times become so large that all
diffusion time terms in (33) become negligibly small. The
charge density then decreases as \( \rho_c = \rho_{c0} \exp(-t/\tau_r) \) af-
after the rotation is stopped where \( \rho_{c0} \) is the initial charge
density value equal to the steady state charge density of
(38) when the cylinder is rotating. With the right hand side of (36) negligibly small, the open-circuit volt-
age \((R \rightarrow \infty) \) then should decay from its initial value to
zero with dielectric relaxation time constant \( \tau_r \). Possible
reasons for the disagreement in measured time constants
for charging and decay from the model are discussed in
Section 3.2.3.

3.2.2 STEADY STATE MEASUREMENTS

Using the ACS, the steady state turbulent core charge
density \( \rho_c \) was also measured as a function of inner cylin-
der rotational speed as shown in Figure 7. Simultaneously
measured were the short-circuit current or open-circuit
voltage across the terminals of the charger. Using (39)
and (40) in the steady state, the fully mixed turbulent
core charge density was calculated from the measured
steady state open-circuit voltage and short-circuit current
which are also shown in Figure 7. Fitting the wall charge
density to \( \rho_w \approx 740 \mu \text{C/m}^2 \) so that (38) (with \( \tau_r = 30 \text{ s} \))
agreed with the ACS measured charge density value
at 1800 rpm, (38) was plotted as a function of rotational
speed to have excellent correlation with the other charge
density values measured by the ACS at lower speeds.

The measured short-circuit current gave core charge
densities about 3 to 4x greater than those measured from
the ACS. The correlation from the measured open-circuit
time constant was about 43 s.
Measured (negative) short-circuit current as a function of time in the small CC with the rotational speed changed from 0 to 2000 rpm in steps of 500 rpm.

Figure 5.

Measured (negative) open-circuit voltage transient response from an initial short circuit for different rotational speeds in the small CC. A dielectric relaxation time of 43 s would give good agreement between the measurements and the theoretical exponentially rising charging transient.

Figure 6.

3.2.3 POSSIBLE REASONS FOR DISAGREEMENT BETWEEN TERMINAL MEASUREMENTS AND ANALYSIS

The motivation for the terminal voltage and current measurements was to examine the self-consistency of the analytical model. However, the terminal voltage and current are much more dependent on the details of the geometry and hydrodynamics than is the turbulent core
charge density, as the terminal variables are non-zero only because of the asymmetry of the diffusion sub-layer thicknesses at inner and outer cylinders. If the flow were truly between parallel plate electrodes, the steady state terminal voltage and current would be zero as seen by the right-hand side of (39) and (40) approaching zero as $R_2 \rightarrow R_1$. Thus, even though $\rho_w$ may be finite between parallel plate electrodes, the terminal voltage and current would be zero. The details of the asymmetry in boundary layer thicknesses given by (28) and (29) may not be accurate because of surface roughness or secondary flow end effects in the CC. Such inaccuracies have little effect on the turbulent core charge density but have large effects on the terminal voltage and current. This sensitivity to the asymmetry between diffusive sub-layer thicknesses may be the cause of the disagreement in turbulent core charge densities in Figure 7 between ACS measurements and computations from measured short-circuit currents and open-circuit voltages. This sensitivity may also be the cause of the difference in time constants in Figure 6 for charging and decay of the open-circuit voltage, both values being different from the expected dielectric relaxation time. Our simplified electrokinetic model has also taken $\rho_w$ to be a constant independent of rotational speed and independent of interfacial electric field. It may be that the wall charge density actually depends on field and flow parameters.

3.3 TEMPERATURE TRANSIENT MEASUREMENTS IN THE LARGE CC

3.3.1 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Unenergized measurements of the turbulent core charge density $\rho_w$ have been made for a variety of oils and materials covering short-circuited cylinders in the large CC using the computer controlled facility shown in Figure 8. The CC Facility allows easy changes of oil and pressboard covering of inner and outer cylinders. Water cooling through heat exchanging copper tubing wound around the outer cylinder and heating tape also wound around the outer cylinder allow the control of temperatures over the range of 15 to 140°C. Using the various pumps, ports and reservoir the oil and pressboard can be dried with vacuum and heat, and moisture can be added by bubbling moist gas through the system for many hours. Dielectric properties of oil and paper permittivity and conductivity and moisture in oil and paper (MOPS) are measured using microdielectrometry sensors [54] at the inlet and outlet of the CC. Oil charge density in the turbulent core imposed by rotating the inner cylinder is measured with the ACS. The rotation speed of the inner cylinder, temperature, applied voltage magnitude and frequency, and ACS parameters are all under computer control so that a long series of measurements can proceed over many days time without operator attention.

Table 4 is a summary of the data taken in the CC with ACS measured values of $\rho_w$ reported at speeds of 500 or 1000 rpm [39, 41, 42]. The wall charge density $\rho_w$ is found from (38). For the large CC at 1000 rpm, the diffusion sub-layer thickness at the outer cylinder wall is $\delta_3 \approx 7.6 \mu m$ so that the diffusion time is $\tau_d \approx 680s$. At 500 rpm, $\delta_3 \approx 13 \mu m$ and $\tau_d \approx 11600s$. For both these speeds, $\tau_d \gg \tau_e$ so that (38) yields $\rho_w/\rho_0 \approx \tau_d/\tau_e$. For a typical measured core charge density of $\rho_w \approx 30 \mu C/m^3$ at 1000 rpm with a dielectric relaxation time of $\tau_e \approx 10s$ the wall charge density is $\rho_w \approx 0.02 C/m^2$.

The oil dielectric relaxation time was measured at 35°C using the open-circuit voltage decay method described in Section 3.1. Most of the measurements in Table 4 involve a step temperature change up or down from a steady state. The inner cylinder rotation was started $\sim 1 h$ before the temperature was changed. It then took about 30 to 60 min for the system to reach thermal equilibrium at the new temperature. In each of these runs the system was first held at the starting temperature long enough so that steady state conditions were established before the temperature was changed. At equilibrium, there is no net transfer of moisture between the oil and pressboard which results in a constant oil and pressboard moisture content. By increasing the temperature, moisture diffuses out of the pressboard and into the oil until a new equilibrium is established. This increases the oil moisture but only slightly decreases the amount in the pressboard which contains approximately 120x the volume of water than the oil contains. The steady state oil moisture was measured before the temperature was changed and after the oil reached a new steady state at the new temperature, 5 to 60 h later, by taking oil samples to a Mitsubishi moisture meter using the Karl Fischer titration method. Oil moisture levels below 2 ppm are not very accurate because this is the level of precision of the instrument. For many measurements the pressboard moisture was measured at the end of a measurement set by taking a sample of pressboard into an oven accessory attached to the moisture meter. The oil and pressboard moisture contents were not necessarily in equilibrium when tabulated in Table 4. Most measurements were taken at constant speeds of the inner cylinder of 1000 rpm but the first early measurements were taken at 500 rpm. The comments column briefly describes oil and paper-drying treatment before measurements began. The normal drying procedure is similar to that used in commercial transformers. The large CC with only pressboard covering the
Figure 8.
Couette Charger Facility allowing easy changes of oil and pressboard with cooling and heating capability to control temperatures over the range of 15 to 140°C. Using the various pumps, ports and reservoir the oil and pressboard can be dried with vacuum and heat or moisture can be added by bubbling moist gas through the system. Conductivity, permittivity, and moisture (MOP8) are measured in oil and paper at the inlet and outlet of the CC. Moisture in oil and paper are also measured by taking samples to the moisture meter. Oil charge density is measured with the ACS.

cylinders is heated under vacuum at 90°C to remove naturally absorbed moisture. Then dried oil was added to the CC while still under vacuum to oil-impregnate the pressboard. Some measurements added moisture to the system by bubbling dry nitrogen through water and then bubbling the moist gas through the oil in the CC for many hours. This would increase the moisture in the oil and slowly increase the moisture in the pressboard.

Measurements for Entries 1–10 in Table 4 had an external reservoir under vacuum connected to the CC. This reservoir was used to hold the oil when filling the CC and to dry the oil by pulling a vacuum. A pump continuously circulated the oil in a flow loop from the reservoir under vacuum to the CC and back. The oil entered the CC outer cylinder near the bottom and exited near the top. In order to measure the moisture in the oil, a slight flow was required because the oil sampling port was connected to the flow loop between the outlet of the CC and the reservoir. A low flow rate of 0.062 ml/s was used which had no effect on the measured charge density. This was because the fluid residence time in the CC was $\tau_f \approx 18$ h (volume 5.77 l) which was much longer than the dielectric relaxation time $\tau_e$ and diffusion times $\tau_{d1,2}$. As long as $\tau_e \gg \tau_f$, a slight flow through the CC will not change the charge density generated. With 1 to 8 l of oil in the reservoir, the reservoir residence time was 4.5 to 27 h. For pressboard covered cylinders in entries 1–5 of Table 4, the charge density and short-circuit terminal current had long time constants after a fast change in temperature, like that shown in Figure 9. The long time transients in these entries are believed to be due to this residence time of continuous vacuum drying in the reservoir so that the oil and pressboard in the CC take
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Table 4.

Unenergized ACS measurements of the turbulent core charge density in the large CC which shows the starting and ending temperatures with corresponding starting and ending oil and paper moisture. The oil dielectric relaxation time $\tau_o$ was measured at 35°C. The wall charge density can be calculated from (38) as $\rho_w / \rho_o = [1 + \tau_e / 2\tau_o].$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(sec)</th>
<th>(ppm)</th>
<th>(percent)</th>
<th>[$\mu$/m$^2$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct13a</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25-8</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct14a</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct26</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>46-13</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov1a</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>21-35</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov14a</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>16-12.5</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec9a</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec12a</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec16a</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan1a</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.7-4.1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan20a</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.2-2.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan10b</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>2.3-2.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan12a</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>2.1-4.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan20b</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.7-0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan27b</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>2.9-2.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb9b</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5-1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb10b</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.7-2</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb13a</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar14b</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>23-31</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar18a</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>36-29</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The charge density was obtained by integrating the unenergized ACS measurements and the charging process of the oil-paper system. For entries 6-9 with bare stainless steel cylinders, the charge density was calculated from the integration of the unenergized ACS measurements and the charging process of the oil-paper system.
density changed directly with temperature changes. The reservoir was filled with approximately 1 l of oil resulting in a residence time of approximately 4.5 h. In order for all of the oil in the CC and reservoir to reach moisture equilibrium, oil must come in contact with the moisture laden pressboard in the CC. Oil entering the CC was drier than oil leaving, accounting for the long time constant to reach equilibrium. To study the moisture and charge transients in pressboard/oil systems more carefully, the continuous flow of oil through the reservoir under vacuum was stopped for data in Entries 11-19 of Table 4. The charge density and short-circuit terminal current transients with pressboard covered cylinders then directly followed temperature changes. In order to measure the oil moisture, oil was directly sampled from the CC through the port where the continuous flow loop had been connected.

3.3.2 SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

1. Exxon oil was dried and impregnated into dry HIVAL pressboard. The CC ran for 48 h at 500 rpm and 35°C to allow for moisture equilibrium between the oil and pressboard. The temperature was then stepped up from 35 to 70°C increasing the oil moisture from 2.5 ppm to 8 ppm. The paper moisture was 0.87%. With the inner cylinder rotating at 500 rpm the ACS measured the charge density at 35°C to be 8 \( \mu C/m^3 \). With the step increase in temperature to 70°C the charge density decreased smoothly through zero and reversed polarity reaching \( \approx -13 \mu C/m^3 \) after 18 h.

2. With the system of #1 running for 6 more h, the charge density gradually decreased to \(-6 \mu C/m^3\) although the oil moisture remained unchanged at 8 ppm. The temperature was then stepped up to 40°C. The oil moisture content then decreased to 3 ppm. The charge density gradually increased passing through zero after about 10 h and reached a steady state value of 5 \( \mu C/m^3 \) after about 40 h.

3. After the completion of #2 the oil was taken from the CC and placed into the reservoir. Nitrogen gas first bubbled through water at 50°C was then bubbled through the oil in the reservoir raising the oil moisture level to 46 ppm. The oil was then returned to the CC and measurements began again with the inner cylinder rotating at 500 rpm with the system temperature maintained at 40°C. The oil charge density was initially 5 \( \mu C/m^3 \) but then rose quickly within 1 h to about 29 \( \mu C/m^3 \) and remained steady about this value for the experiment run time of about 49 h. The oil moisture measured after 49 h had decreased to 13 ppm while the pressboard moisture level had risen to 1.66%.

4. Experiments began nine days after the completion of #3 with the system temperature maintained at 40°C. The moisture level in the oil was 21 ppm. With the inner cylinder now rotating at 1000 rpm the ACS measured the charge density as 95 \( \mu C/m^3 \). The temperature was then step increased to 70°C and the charge density decreased through zero after about 1.5 h reaching a steady state of \( -31 \mu C/m^3 \) after about 6 h remaining constant thereafter to 19 h. The transient measurement of the charge density and short-circuit terminal current is shown in Figure 9. The data shows that the current and charge density are proportional and opposite in polarity which is consistent with the sign convention in (40) and in Figures 2 and 3.

5. The system was then maintained at 70°C for seven days. The oil moisture decreased to 16 ppm but the charge density was about the same at \(-30 \mu C/m^3\). The temperature was then step decreased to 40°C but after 2.5 h, the pressboard came off the outer cylinder and the experiment had to stop. At this time the charge density had reversed polarity to \( \approx 19 \mu C/m^3 \) and the oil moisture content had decreased to about 12.5 ppm.

6. New Exxon oil from the drum without drying and with bare stainless steel cylinders had a constant moisture level of 20 ppm at 40 and 70°C. This constant moisture level is expected because there was no paper present to absorb or release moisture. The charge density at 70°C was 10 \( \mu C/m^3 \) and decreased to 4.9 \( \mu C/m^3 \) at 40°C.

7. The system was then dried for three days decreasing the oil moisture level to 4 ppm and decreasing the charge density at 35°C to 1 \( \mu C/m^3 \). The temperature was then step increased to 70°C. Within the first hour, the charge density increased to \( \approx 4.4 \mu C/m^3 \) in direct proportion to the temperature but then with the temperature essentially constant at 70°C the charge density decreased to about 3.4 \( \mu C/m^3 \).

8. The system was maintained at 70°C for four days under vacuum which dried the oil to 1 ppm moisture with the charge density at 4 \( \mu C/m^3 \). The temperature was then step decreased to 35°C and the charge density dropped to \( \approx 0.7 \mu C/m^3 \) in synchronism with the temperature drop. With the temperature then essentially constant at 35°C the oil charge density slightly increased to 1.5 \( \mu C/m^3 \).

9. The oil was then changed to Shell and with bare stainless steel cylinders was dried to 2.7 ppm moisture. At 35°C the oil dielectric relaxation time was 38 s and with
inner cylinder rotation of 1000 rpm the oil charge density was 5.5 μC/m³. The temperature was then stepped up to 70°C. The oil moisture level slightly changed to 4.1 ppm and the charge density increased in synchronism with the temperature change to a steady state value of 12.2 μC/m³.

10. T-IV pressboard was then used with Shell oil and the system was dried with 0.5% moisture in the pressboard and 4.2 ppm moisture in the oil at 70°C. The oil dielectric relaxation time at 35°C dropped to 3.2 s indicating the presence of some ionizable material in the T-IV pressboard. This is contrary to EBV-Weidmann’s experience where oil conductivities were found to decrease by a factor of 1.6 when in contact with HIVAL or T-IV pressboard as measured by applying ±750 dc [42,55]. This suggests that some contamination of the system or pressboard had occurred. The charge density at 70°C was 31 μC/m³, note that this positive charge polarity is opposite to that found with Exxon oil and HIVAL pressboard at 70°C. The temperature was then decreased to 35°C.

The charge density dropped in synchronism with the temperature to 8 μC/m³ gradually increasing to 18 μC/m³ after 12 h. The oil moisture decreased to 2.1 ppm. This was the last set of data that had a continuous flow between the CC and reservoir.

11. The experiment then sat for 16 days with no further drying with the dielectric relaxation time dropped further to 0.56 s. The oil moisture remained about constant at 2.3 ppm at 35°C but during the first hour of inner cylinder rotation at 1000 rpm the charge density was erratic varying over the range of 20 to 78 μC/m³. The charge density was erratic varying over the range of 20 to 78 μC/m³. The oil moisture dropped slightly to 0.9 ppm.

12. The system was maintained at 15°C for two days with no further drying. The oil moisture remained about constant at 2.1 ppm. The oil charge density increased during the first hour of inner cylinder rotation at 1000 rpm from 10 to 23 μC/m³. With an increase in temperature to 70°C in 30 min, the charge density increased in synchronism with the temperature to 79 μC/m³. With the temperature now constant at 70°C the charge density varied for 18 h over the range of 55 to 80 μC/m³. The oil moisture increased to 4.3 ppm.

13. The system was maintained at 70°C for the next eight days without further drying. The dielectric relaxation time dropped to 0.39 s. The oil moisture dropped to 1.7 ppm and the charge density dropped to 34 μC/m³. The temperature was then decreased to 35°C. The charge density was erratic varying over the range of 20 to 78 μC/m³. The oil moisture dropped slightly to 0.9 ppm.

14. The system was changed to new Shell oil and HIVAL pressboard. The system was dried to 2.9 ppm moisture in the oil and 0.3% moisture in the pressboard. The dielectric relaxation time was 11.2 s and the oil charge density was 4 μC/m³ at the start of inner cylinder rotation at 1000 rpm rising to 10 μC/m³ after 1 h. The charge density decreased to -5 μC/m³ in synchronism with the decrease in temperature to 35°C being somewhat erratic to 20 h time over the range of -1 to -2 μC/m³ as shown in Figure 10a. The oil moisture level decreased to 2.3 ppm.

15. The system then sat for 8 days at 35°C when the inner cylinder was started rotating at 1000 rpm for 48 h. The oil moisture level was essentially unchanged at 2.5 ppm and the charge density was -10 μC/m³. The dielectric relaxation time was also essentially unchanged at 10 s. With the inner cylinder rotating at 1000 rpm the temperature was then increased to 70°C. The charge density smoothly decreased back to -10 μC/m³ after 18 h. The oil was very dry and unlike all other temperature rise experiments, the moisture content at 1 ppm was less at the higher temperature which may account for the strange charge density transient.

16. Moist gas was then bubbled through the oil to raise the oil moisture level to 4.7 ppm at 70°C and the pressboard moisture to 0.54%. The charge density increased to 40 μC/m³ at the start of inner cylinder rotation at 1000 rpm. The charge density slightly increased to 47 μC/m³ after 1 h of rotation when the temperature was decreased to 35°C as shown in Figure 10c. The charge density then decreased to -34 μC/m³. Also shown in Figure 10c is the time dependence of the oil moisture level with the step decrease in temperature. The oil moisture tracked the oil temperature as did the charge density and short-circuit terminal current. Since a diffusion process governs the relations between oil and pressboard moisture, the oil moisture can not change instantaneously. The time for the oil moisture to reach a steady state value after this step change in temperature was calculated to be 1.3 h. In this experiment, the temperature step change took ~ 1 to 2 h which was not fast enough to see the transient governed by the diffusion of moisture in the oil and pressboard.

17. The system was changed to new Exxon oil and HIVAL pressboard with dielectric relaxation time at 35°C
of 8.5 s. The system was dried for 10 h rather than the usual 16 h to allow the oil moisture level to be 4 ppm at 35°C. The pressboard moisture level could not be measured because of malfunction of the moisture meter. The charge density was erratic for the first hour of inner cylinder rotation at 1000 rpm varying from 1 to 18 µC/m³. The temperature was then step increased to 70°C and the charge density slowly decreased to zero after ≈ 5 h reaching a steady value of -10 µC/m³ after ~ 24 h. The oil moisture only slightly increased to 5 ppm. This measurement had test conditions similar to that of measurements #4-5 with similar results. Confidence was gained by this reproducibility for measurements taken eight months later.

18. The system was then changed to used oil from an Albuquerque transformer using bare stainless steel cylinders without drying with dielectric relaxation time of 5.3 s. The initial oil moisture at 35°C was 23 ppm and the charge density at 1000 rpm inner cylinder rotation rate was steady at ≈ 8 µC/m³. The temperature was then step increased to 70°C and the charge density varied over the range of 32 to 37 µC/m³ as the oil moisture level increased to 31 ppm.

19. After sitting for four days at 70°C the oil moisture was 36 ppm and the charge density varied over the range of 16 to 21 µC/m³ during the first hour of inner cylinder rotation at 1000 rpm. The temperature was then step decreased to 35°C reducing the charge density to about 4 µC/m³ as the moisture dropped slightly to 29 ppm.

3.3.3 RELATIONSHIP OF CORE CHARGE DENSITY TO SHORT-CIRCUIT TERMINAL CURRENT

The measurements in Figures 9 and 10 also show the short-circuit terminal current magnitudes in the large CC at 1000 rpm to be ~ 3000 pA. Figure 5 shows the same measurement in the small CC to be ~ 28 pA at 1000 rpm. Equation (40) gives the predicted relationship between steady state short-circuit terminal current $i$, turbulent core charge density $\rho_0$, dielectric relaxation time $\tau_\varepsilon$, and geometric dimensions of the CC. Separating out measured variables from the geometric factor G, (40) can be written in the form

$$\frac{-i \tau_\varepsilon}{\rho_0} = G = \frac{\pi I [(R_2^2 + R_1^2) \ln(R_2/R_1) - (R_2^2 - R_1^2)]}{2 \ln(R_2/R_1)}$$

For all CC dimensions in centimeters, the geometric factor G is 275 for the large CC and 61.5 for the small CC. The measured charge density in Figure 7 at 1000 rpm is ≈ 8 µC/m³. With a measured dielectric relaxation time of 30 s and a measured terminal current of -28 pA, $(-i \tau_\varepsilon/\rho_0) = 105$. This is of the same order of the predicted value of 61.5. The deviation can be attributed to the measurement sensitivity discussed in Section 3.2.3.

Table 5 lists the measured steady state values of $(-i \tau_\varepsilon/\rho_0)$ at two temperatures in the large CC which should be compared to the predicted value of G=275. Accounting for the measurement sensitivity discussed in Section 3.2.3, the measurements in Table 5 are in reasonable agreement with analysis.

3.3.4 OBSERVATIONS OF ELECTRIFICATION DEPENDENCE ON TEMPERATURE, MOISTURE, AND DIELECTRIC RELAXATION TIME

1. A reversal in the polarity of the charge density was only observed when HIVAL pressboard was tested. T-IV covered and bare stainless steel cylinders always gave positive charge densities in the oil, that increased with temperature.
Table 5.
Measurement of turbulent core charge density and short-circuit terminal current in the large CC.
The predicted value in the large CC is \((-\tau_C/\rho_C) = G = 275\). The value of \(\tau_C\) at 70°C was taken from Table 6 or if not measured at 70°C was estimated as \(1/5\) the measured value at 35°C as given in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>T°C</th>
<th>(\rho_C) μC/m²</th>
<th>(i) pA</th>
<th>(\tau_C) s</th>
<th>(-\tau_C/\rho_C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-75</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>-3200</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>-10,750</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-1,000</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>279</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-400</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-4,400</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-2,800</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-500</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>713</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. From measurements with bare stainless steel cylinders so that the oil moisture level remained essentially constant even with changes in temperature (#6-8, 9, 18-19), the oil charge density was positive and increased with increasing temperature. At a given temperature, the oil charge density was higher when the oil moisture level was higher (compare #6, 18, and 19 to #7 and 8).

3. The oil charge densities were much higher and the oil dielectric relaxation times were much smaller with T-IV pressboard than with HIVAL pressboard and bare metal cylinders. This indicates the presence of ionizable impurities from the T-IV pressboard that increases the oil conductivity and increases the wall charge density, perhaps by enhanced adsorption into the T-IV pressboard.

4. The oil charge density increased as the moisture level in the pressboard increased (see #3).

5. When the oil system was contained during the measurements (#11-19), the charge density transient was generally in synchronism with the temperature transient except for #17 which was only dried for 10 h rather than the usual 16 h where it took about 8 h for the charge density to reach an essentially steady value.

6. The typical slight increase of the charge density and oil moisture during the first hour of the experiment is shown in Figure 10a, where the rotation of the inner cylinder was started at time zero. During this period, the oil temperature was held at 70°C by cooling the outer cylinder of the large CC. The CC must be cooled while the inner cylinder is rotating to remove the heat generated by the fluid shear stresses on the inner and outer cylinders. Since the inner cylinder was not directly cooled, the pressboard surface temperature on the inner cylinder was greater than the oil in the core as recorded by the temperature sensor in Figure 10. This would probably result in increased oil moisture due to diffusion of moisture out of the inner cylinder pressboard and into the oil. The core charge density could also be affected by this increased surface temperature.

4. ENERGIZATION CHARGING

We might picture the oil-impregnated pressboard to not only have a layer of net charge on the fluid side of the interface, but to also have interstices filled with ions. For net positive charge accumulation due to HV energization, positive ions are presumably more readily coerced into the adjacent oil by an electric field so that more positive than negative charge is injected during a cycle. In the case of bare metal electrodes, the charges may be due to ionization of materials adsorbed on the oxide surface. Tentatively, the parameter being measured is the 'injection charge density' \(\rho_{inj}\), to be estimated from measurements as the field amplitude and frequency are varied [39, 41, 42].

4.1 CHARGE INJECTION MODEL

As a limiting case, consider the boundary in Figure 11 which only injects positive charge with mobility \(b\) when the electric field points away from the boundary. When the sinusoidally varying electric field \(E_x\cos wt\) perpendicular to the wall is positive, positive charge is injected and migrates away from the wall. When the electric field reverses polarity, the charge returns to the wall. Charges are injected from \(z = 0\) at different times \(t_0\) in the voltage cycle and thus penetrate different distances into the flow. In addition, the already present equilibrium double-layer charge not included in earlier analysis [34-36] will migrate in the imposed electric field somewhat analogously to an ac field modulated double layer model developed for laminar flow [57]. Some of this additional charge will be injected into the turbulent core for any applied voltage as seen in earlier measurements [34-36]. This resolves the disagreement with past analysis that predicted a threshold field strength before energization charging would occur as only charge injected from the boundary was considered, neglecting charge injected from the 'equilibrium' double layer.
Figure 10. Large CC with HIVAL pressboard covered cylinders and Shell Diala A oil with 1000 rpm rotational speed comparing dried oil with a (a) step decrease and (b) step increase in temperature and (c) moist oil after moist gas had been bubbled through to raise oil moisture from 1 to 4.7 ppm at 70°C and to raise pressboard moisture from 0.3 to 0.54%. (a) Entry 14 of Table 4 with 70 to 35°C step decrease in temperature. The oil moisture decreased from 2.9 to 2.3 ppm. The paper moisture was 0.3% and the dielectric relaxation time was 11.2 s. (b) Entry 15 of Table 4 with a 35 to 70°C temperature step increase. The oil moisture decreased from 2.5 to 1 ppm and the dielectric relaxation time was 10 s (at 35°C). (c) Entry 16 of Table 4 with paper moisture raised to 0.54%. Oil temperature step decrease from 70 to 35°C, core charge density and terminal current as a function of time, oil moisture transient which decreased from 4.7 to 2 ppm. The oil dielectric-relaxation time was 9.5 s (at 35°C).

Neglecting self-field effects from the space charge and the small amount of turbulent diffusion near the wall, the
Figure 11. ac charge injection trajectories starting at the oil/pressboard interface $x = 0$ at time $t_0$ with density $\rho_{inj}$ and injection trajectories starting within the migration based sublayer of thickness $\delta_b$ at time $t = -\pi/2\omega$ with charge density $\rho(x)$. 

charge velocity

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = bE = bE_o \cos \omega t$$

yields by integration the charge trajectories

$$x(t) = \frac{bE_o}{\omega} (\sin \omega t - \sin \omega t_0) + x_0$$

where $t_0$ is the time the charge is injected from the $x = 0$ boundary and $x_0 \neq 0$ is the initial position of the equilibrium charge in the double layer at time $t_0 = -\pi/2\omega$. Because the peak distance that the charge migrates, $\delta_{mig} = 2bE_o/\omega$, is much less than the inner radius of the cylinders or the gap $d$, even for large fields at low frequency, the boundaries are treated as flat and non-interacting. Because the dielectric relaxation time $\tau_e = \varepsilon/\sigma$, and self-precipitation time $\tau_p = e/\rho_b$, are generally much longer than the charge flight times we take the charge density along a trajectory to be constant.

If charge reaches beyond a boundary layer thickness $\delta_b$ into the turbulent core, it becomes entrained in the flow and does not return to the wall. This thickness is defined in (25) as that distance at which the peak migration velocity $bE_o$ just equals the fluid fluctuation rms velocity component perpendicular to the wall $(v_z^2)^{1/2}$ as given in (9). The boundary layer thickness for the Taylor correlation of (14) is then

$$\delta_b = 63dR^{-9/8}(bE_o d/\nu)^{1/2}$$

where $R = \Omega R_d d/\nu$ is the Reynolds number. Representative values for our experiments have an ion mobility of $b \approx 10^{-9}$ m$^2/(Vs)$, maximum electric field $E_o = 0.5 \times 10^6$ V/m, and maximum inner cylinder speed of 1800 rpm, which gives $\delta_b \approx 30 \mu$m.

If the field $E_o$ is too low or the frequency $\omega$ is too high so that the maximum charge migration length is less than the sublayer thickness ($2bE_o/\omega < \delta_b$), charge trajectories given by (44) for charge injected at the $x = 0$ wall do not cross the boundary layer into the turbulent core and thus do not contribute to injected current. However, the equilibrium charge density taken to linearly vary from the wall charge density $\rho_w$ at $z = 0$ to the turbulent core charge density $\rho_e$ at $z = \delta_b$ as

$$\rho = \rho_w + (\rho_e - \rho_w) x_0/\delta_b \quad 0 \leq x_0 \leq \delta_b$$
has some charge that crosses \( z = \delta_0 \). Since we take the charge density to remain constant along a trajectory we can use (44) to relate position \( x \) at time \( t \) to initial position \( x_0 \) at time \( t_0 = -\pi/2 \) so that the charge density of (46) at later positions and time is

\[
\rho = \rho_0 + \frac{\rho_0 - \rho_\infty}{\delta_0} \left( x - \frac{b E_0}{\omega} (\sin \omega t + 1) \right)
\]

(47)

In particular, with \( x = \delta_0 \), the current density \( J_1 = \rho b E_0 \cos \omega t \) crosses into the turbulent core over the time interval \(-\pi/2 \leq \omega t \leq \pi/2\). For the time interval \( \pi/2 \leq \omega t \leq 3\pi/2 \), charge with density \( \rho_0 \) in the turbulent core crosses back over into the sublayer. We assume that once in the sublayer, equilibrium diffusion processes convert this charge density of \( \rho_0 \) back to the linear profile of (46) before the field reverses direction. Then the net current that crosses the sublayer into the turbulent core is

\[
J_1 = \frac{\omega}{2\pi} \left[ \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left\{ \rho_0 + (\rho_0 - \rho_\infty) \left[ 1 - \frac{b E_0}{\omega b_0} (\sin \omega t + 1) \right] \right\} b E_0 \cos \omega t \, dt \right. \\
+ \left. \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \rho_0 b E_0 \cos \omega t \, dt \right] \\
= \frac{(\rho_0 - \rho_\infty) b^2 E_0^2}{\pi \omega \delta_0}
\]

(48)

If the field \( E_0 \) is high enough, or frequency \( \omega \) is low enough, such that \( 2b E_0/\omega > \delta_0 \) then injected charge with density \( \rho_{\text{inj}} \) can also cross the sublayer which results in additional current charging the turbulent core. Equilibrium charge now crosses \( z = \delta_0 \) into the turbulent core in the time interval \(-\pi/2 \leq \omega t \leq \omega t_6 \), and injected charges cross \( z = \delta_0 \) into the turbulent core in the time interval \( \omega \delta_0 \leq \omega t \leq \pi/2 \). Turbulent core charge crosses \( z = \delta_0 \) back into the sublayer in the time interval \( \pi/2 \leq \omega t \leq 3\pi/2 \). The time \( t_6 \) is found for that trajectory starting at \( x = 0, \omega t_0 = -\pi/2 \) to reach \( x = \delta_0 \)

\[
\delta_0 = \frac{b E_0}{\omega} (\sin \omega t_6 + 1) \Rightarrow \sin \omega t_6 = \frac{\omega \delta_0}{b E_0} - 1
\]

(49)

The net current density crossing \( z = \delta_0 \) is then

\[
J_1 = \frac{\omega}{2\pi} \left[ \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \{ \rho_0 + (\rho_0 - \rho_\infty) [1 - \frac{b E_0}{\omega b_0} (\sin \omega t + 1)] \} b E_0 \cos \omega t \, dt \right. \\
+ \left. \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \rho_0 b E_0 \cos \omega t \, dt \right] \\
= \frac{b E_0}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{\omega b_0}{b E_0} \left( \rho_0 + \rho_\infty - \rho_{\text{inj}} \right) + 2(\rho_{\text{inj}} - \rho_0) \right]
\]

(50)

Charge conservation requires that the time rate of change of the charge in the volume \( V \) be equal to the sum of the injected, diffusion, and ohmic currents

\[
V \frac{d\rho_0}{dt} = 2\pi l (J_1 R_1 + J_2 R_2) - V \rho_0 \sigma / \epsilon
\]

(51)

where \( J_{1,2} = J_{1,2} + J_{\text{inj},2} \) is the sum of the injected and diffusion current densities evaluated at the inner and outer cylinders. Provided that the space charge field is negligible compared to the imposed field, the electric field at the inner and outer cylinders with an applied voltage \( V \) is

\[
E_{1,2} = \frac{V}{R_{1,2} \ln(R_2/R_1)}
\]

(52)

Reducing (51), the governing equation for the core charge density is related to the wall charge densities \( \rho_{\text{w}1,2}, \rho_{\text{w}1,2} \), injection charge densities \( \rho_{\text{inj}1,2}, \rho_{\text{inj}1,2} \), diffusion times \( \tau_{d1,2}, \) dielectric relaxation time \( \tau_e \), and injection times \( \tau_{a1,2}, \tau_{a1,2} \) by

\[
\frac{d\rho_0}{dt} + \frac{1}{\tau_e} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_{a1}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{a2}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{d1}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{d2}} \right) \rho_0 = \frac{\rho_{\text{inj}1}}{\tau_{a1}} \\
+ \frac{\rho_{\text{inj}2}}{\tau_{a2}} + \rho_{\text{w}1} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_{d1}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{a1}} \right) + \rho_{\text{w}2} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_{d2}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{a2}} \right)
\]

(53)

\[
\tau_{a1,2} = \frac{\delta_{1,2} (R_2^2 - R_1^2)}{2DR_{1,2}}
\]

\[
\tau_e = \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma}
\]

(54)

(55)

\[
\tau_{c1,2} = \frac{\delta_{1,2} (R_2^2 - R_1^2)}{2DR_{1,2}}
\]

\[
\tau_{c1,2} = \frac{\delta_{1,2} (R_2^2 - R_1^2)}{w_{1,2} R_{1,2}}
\]

(56)
4.2 MEASUREMENTS FITTED TO ANALYSIS

Measurements were made in the CC for a variety of oil types with pressboard covered or bare metal cylinders. An applied ac voltage between the cylinders was used to study the effect of energization. The frequency is stepped from 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, to 4.0 Hz. For each frequency, the voltage is stepped from 0 to 4 kV peak in 1 kV steps, while for each voltage and frequency, the rotational speed is stepped from 0 to 1500 rpm in 100 rpm steps. This matrix of measurements takes 38 h to complete and is under computer control.

This model has been fitted to data of measured charge density vs. cylinder speed for zero applied voltage as well as applied voltages of 1 to 4 kV peak for frequencies over the range of 0.5 to 4 Hz as shown in Figure 12 by using a three-parameter estimation of the wall charge density $\rho_w$, injection charge density $\rho_{nj}$, and diffusion coefficient $D$. These values were calculated to minimize the magnitude difference error between analysis and measurements. Since both inner and outer cylinders were bare stainless steel or covered with the same pressboard, wall and injected charge densities were taken to be equal at each cylinder $\rho_w = \rho_{w1,2}$ and $\rho_{nj} = \rho_{nj1,2}$.

In order to achieve a good fit between experiment and theory, it was also necessary to estimate the diffusion coefficient within the diffusion sublayer. If the walls were perfectly smooth and the sub-layer truly laminar, one would expect the diffusion coefficient to have a value of the molecular diffusivity, $D_m = 2.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ for our oil, but estimations, listed in Table 6, show that it is from 4 to 33$\times$ greater for bare metal cylinders, and from 3 to 113$\times$ greater for pressboard covered cylinders. A larger diffusion coefficient is expected due to the turbulent mixing in the core which penetrates into the sublayer. In addition, we expect the rough surface of the pressboard to enhance the diffusion of charge at the oil/pressboard interface because the roughness scale is on the same order as the sublayer thickness. This conclusion is supported by measurements in Table 6 for T-IV pressboard, which has a surface roughness larger than the HIVAL pressboard and also has the largest values of fitted diffusion coefficient.

4.2.1 LOW ROTATIONAL SPEED MEASUREMENTS

The model of (53) in the steady state was only fitted to data points which had a rotational speed of 500 rpm or greater. Below 500 rpm, the data was widely varying due to cellular convection. The measured charge densities in this rotational speed range were often very large and not reproducible. This behavior is shown in Figure 12 for $\Omega < 500$ rpm. Charge densities at 0.5 Hz and 100 rpm were larger than at higher rotational speeds. From Section 3.3.1, the transition from cellular convection to turbulent flow in the large CC occurs at 62 rpm. Below this speed, the flow has laminar vortices (Taylor cells) and is not fully mixed which may result in an inhomogeneous charge distribution across the gap between the cylinders. As the rotational speed is increased above 62 rpm, the Taylor cells begin to break up and a turbulent flow is developed. It is believed that a highly mixed, fully developed turbulent flow does not occur until the rotational speed is 500 rpm or greater which is the threshold where the measured data had little 'noise'.

4.2.2 RESULTS

Table 6 is a summary of data taken in the CC units for a variety of oils and materials covering the cylinders with the results of the three parameter estimation listed. The dielectric relaxation times shown in this table were measured at the operating temperature and were used for calculations in the parameter estimation. The kinematic viscosity $\nu$ of the oil was taken to have a temperature dependence [28] of $
u = \nu_0 \exp(W/kT)$ where $\nu_0 = 3.956 \times 10^{-10} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$, $W = 0.2725 \text{eV}$, $k$ is Boltzmann's constant. At 22°C this gives a kinematic viscosity of $1.80 \times 10^{-5} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$. At 35 and 70°C, the kinematic viscosities are $1.14 \times 10^{-5} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ and $3.99 \times 10^{-6} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ respectively.

All of the runs that used bare stainless steel cylinders gave positive charge densities that increased with increasing HV applied between the cylinders as shown by Entries 2, 4, 10, and 11. The data for Entry 2 is shown in Figure 12. The measured charge density increased with increasing rotational speed, increasing applied voltage amplitude, and decreasing applied voltage frequency. The estimated values of $\rho_w$ and $\rho_{nj}$ were both positive. As shown by Entries 10 and 11, the values of both $\rho_w$ and $\rho_{nj}$ were greater at 70 than at 35°C.

HIVAL covered cylinders and Shell Diala A oil gave negative charge densities that increased negatively with applied voltage for both 35 and 70°C as shown by Entries 7 and 8. The data for Entry 8 is shown in Figure 13. The estimated values of $\rho_w$ and $\rho_{nj}$ were both negative at 35°C and became more negative at 70°C.

T-IV pressboard and Shell oil gave positive charge densities that increased with applied voltage at 35°C as shown...
Figure 12.
Entry 2 of Table 6. Positive core charge density measured in the CC with bare stainless steel cylinders and Exxon Univolt 60 transformer oil at 6 ppm moisture with a dielectric relaxation time of 12 s. Data was taken at 30°C with \( v = 0 \) (dots) and with an applied ac voltage of 1 (crosses), 2 (pluses), 3 (stars), and 4 (circles) kV peak at 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 Hz with the rotational speed varied from 0 to 1800 rpm. The solid lines are calculated from (53) in the steady state using a three parameter best fit of \( \rho_w = 1.92 \text{ mC/m}^3 \), \( \rho_{\text{inj}} = 1.68 \text{ mC/m}^3 \), and \( D = 1.23 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} = 4.9D_m \).

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

T-IV pressboard and Exxon oil, as shown by Entry 3, gave less charge than T-IV pressboard and Shell oil as shown by Entry 5. HIVAL pressboard covered cylinders and Exxon Univolt 60 oil at 35°C gave positive charge that increased slightly with increasing applied voltage as shown by Entry 9. This combination was not tested at 70°C. Positive values of \( \rho_w \) for T-IV covered and bare stainless steel cylinders and negative values for HIVAL covered cylinders are consistent with the unenergized measurements of Section 3.3.
Estimated parameters for energized measurements in the CC for a variety of oils and materials covering the cylinders. Entry 1 is data taken in the small CC with 50 ppm BTA. All other entries are in the large CC without additives. The core charge density, $\rho_c$, with a rotation speed of 1500 rpm, is also shown for zero voltage (unenergized) and for an ac voltage of 4 kV peak at 0.5 Hz applied between the cylinders (energized).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Oil Moisture (ppm)</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>$\tau_c$ (sec)</th>
<th>$\rho_c$ (mC/m$^3$)</th>
<th>$\rho_{sl}$ (mC/m$^3$)</th>
<th>$D/10^{-12}$ (m$^2$/s)</th>
<th>$D_{Da}$ ($D_{Da} = 25 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$/s)</th>
<th>$\rho_d$ (μC/m$^3$)</th>
<th>$\rho_e$ (μC/m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>bmar22</td>
<td>Manning 220 Gulf TR with 50 ppm BTA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>bjun22</td>
<td>Bare SS Exxon 60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sept2b</td>
<td>T-IV Exxon 60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>jas4a</td>
<td>Bare SS Shell DA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9apr08a</td>
<td>T-IV Shell DA</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>2447</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9apr13b</td>
<td>T-IV Shell DA</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>2828</td>
<td>113.1</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9may05a</td>
<td>HIVAL Shell DA</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9may12b</td>
<td>HIVAL Shell DA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>-6.75</td>
<td>-4.56</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9jul11c</td>
<td>HIVAL Exxon 60</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>1293</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9aug10a</td>
<td>Bare SS Albuquerque</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>9aug15b</td>
<td>Bare SS Albuquerque</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Flow electrification charge density measurements as a function of inner cylinder speed were made using the absolute charge sensor which brings a sample of fluid from the turbulent core into a Faraday cage and measures the total charge with no extraneous contributions from charge separated within the ACS itself. Transient measurements with a step change in temperature have shown the charge density to change from an initial value to a new steady state value, both values probably dependent on the equilibrium moisture levels in oil and pressboard. For all bare metal and T-IV pressboard cases, the oil charge density was positive but with HIVAL pressboard there were some moisture and temperature regimes where the charge polarity reversed.

The effects of transformer energization were also simulated by applying low frequency (0.5 to 4 Hz) HV (field strength $\leq 1.8$ kV/cm peak) across the cylinders of the CC. Generally this energization increased the magnitude of net charge in the turbulent core which increased with decreasing frequency, but the T-IV pressboard and Shell oil combination had a decrease in charge density with increasing voltage including polarity reversal. This indicates that injected charge can be the same or opposite polarity to the charge on the liquid side of the equilibrium double layer. To help understand the effects of energization and to scale laboratory measurements at low voltages and low frequencies to operating transformers, a charge injection model was developed that examined the migration of double layer and injected charge in the imposed...
Good fits between this model and measurements were achieved by finding best fits of equilibrium charge density at the solid/liquid interface, injected charge density due to energization, and diffusivity in the diffusive sublayer near the wall. The diffusivity exceeded the molecular diffusivity by ~5 to 30x for bare metal cylinders, and by ≤113 for pressboard covered cylinders. This enhanced diffusivity was probably due to the turbulent mixing of the core penetrating the diffusive sublayer and due to the rough surface variations of the pressboard which are of the same order as the sublayer thickness.

Measurements will continue with new transformer oils and used oils taken from operating transformers. The equilibrium and energization models are also being improved by generalizing the electrochemical boundary condition at the interface to include chemical rate processes and moisture dynamics between pressboard and oil. Because of the bipolar nature of our measurements the charge transport model is also being extended to include sinusoidally time-varying electric field.

Figure 13.
Entry 8 of Table 6. Negative core charge density measured in the large CC with HIVAL covered cylinders and Shell Diala A transformer oil at 1 ppm moisture with a dielectric relaxation time of 1.44 s. Data was taken at 70°C with υ = 0 (dots) and with an applied ac voltage of 1 (crosses), 2 (pluses), 3 (stars), and 4 (circles) kV peak at 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 Hz with the rotational speed varied from 0 to 1500 rpm. The solid lines are calculated from (53) in the steady state using a three parameter best fit of ρw = -6.7 mC/m², ρ inj = -4.6 mC/m², and D = 7.5 × 10^-11 m²/s = 3.0D m.
Figure 14.
Entry 6 of Table 6. Core charge density measured in the large CC with T-IV covered cylinders and Shell Diala A transformer oil at 2.7 ppm moisture with a dielectric relaxation time of 0.22 s. Data was taken at 70°C with \( \nu = 0 \) (dots) and with an applied ac voltage of 1 (crosses), 2 (pluses), 3 (stars), and 4 (circles) kV peak at 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 Hz with the rotational speed varied from 0 to 1500 rpm. The solid lines are calculated from (53) in the steady state using a three parameter best fit of \( \rho_0 = 20 \text{ mC/m}^2 \), \( \rho_{\text{con}} = -46 \text{ mC/m}^2 \), and \( D = 2.83 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \).
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