Energy Relaxation Times in a Nb Persistent Current Qubit


Abstract—We measured the energy relaxation time in a Nb superconducting persistent current qubit using a time-resolved fast measurement scheme. The energy relaxation time is longer than 10 \( \mu \text{s} \), showing a strong potential of realizing quantum computation with Nb-based superconducting qubits.
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I. INTRODUCTION

QUANTUM computers hold the promise to solve certain problems which cannot be solved by classical computers efficiently [1]. Among those approaches for the development of the quantum computers, use of superconducting qubits (SQs) based on the Josephson devices is promising due to the relative ease of circuit design, fabrication and scaling up [2]–[4]. However, short decoherence times, resulting from the strong coupling between the SQs and the environment, is a common drawback for all superconducting qubits. Although recent progress with the superconducting qubits indicates that long decoherence times are possible [5]–[14], work still needs to be done in order to improve the decoherence time. Recently, we measured the intra-well energy relaxation time in a Nb superconducting persistent current (PC) qubit [15]. It was found that the intra-well energy relaxation is about 25 \( \mu \text{s} \), which suggested a long inter-well relaxation time. Here, we present direct time-resolved measurements of the inter-well energy relaxation time between two potential wells, i.e., the energy relaxation time \( T_1 \), in a Nb PC qubit. It was found experimentally that \( T_1 \gg 10 \mu \text{s} \). These long energy relaxation times indicate a strong potential for quantum computation employing Nb-based SQs.

A PC qubit is a superconducting loop interrupted by three under-damped Josephson junctions (JJs) (Fig. 1(a)). Two JJs are designed to have the same size and critical current, and the third one is \( \alpha \) times smaller in size and critical current. For 0.5 < \( \alpha \) < 1 and with an externally applied magnetic field close to a half flux quantum, \( \Phi_0/2 \), the system behaves as a particle moving in a double well potential, with the classical states in each well corresponding to macroscopic persistent currents of opposite sign [3]. The potential can be tilted back and forth by changing the frustration \( f_q \), which is the magnetic flux threading the loop in units of \( \Phi_0 \). At low temperature and weak damping, the dynamics of the system are governed by quantum mechanics, and the particle occupies quantized energy levels in the double potential well. The two classical states are now coupled via quantum tunneling through the barrier between the wells, and the system behaves as a two level quantum system. Fig. 1(b) shows the expectation values of the circulating persistent currents of the qubit ground state and excited state as a function of the applied magnetic field. The circulating current of the qubit states changes sign with the magnetic frustration passing 0.5. In addition, the system can interact quantum mechanically with a monochromatic electromagnetic (microwave) field, and microwaves with frequency matching the energy level spacing can generate transitions between the two macroscopic quantum states either coherently or incoherently [6], [13], [15].

II. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

The samples used in this study were fabricated at MIT Lincoln Laboratory in a niobium trilayer process [16]. The critical temperature \( T_c \) of the JJs was \( \sim 9 \text{ K} \). The PC qubit area is \( 16 \times 16 \mu \text{m}^2 \), with self-inductance of \( L_q \approx 30 \mu \text{H} \). The critical current density of the junctions is about 180 A/cm². The junctions were of high quality, with a sub-gap resistance larger than 1 MΩ. The readout dc SQUID, which surrounds the qubit, consists two JJs that have equal critical current \( I_{c0} \approx 2 \mu \text{A} \). Both JJs are shunted with a 1 pF capacitor to lower the resonant...
frequency of the SQUID. The SQUID is 20 × 20 μm² in area, with the self-inductance of $L_{SO} \approx 60$ pH. The mutual inductance between the qubit and dc SQUID is $M \approx 25$ pH. The inductances and $I_{c0}$ were determined from the SQUID transfer function measurement and consistent with the estimated values from the process parameters. The persistent current in the qubit will generate an additional magnetic flux of $\sim 5 m\Phi_0$ in the SQUID, resulting in a 50 nA change on the switching current $I_{sw}$ of the SQUID which can be easily detected by the SQUID at low temperature. The sample was mounted in a small metal package that was thermally anchored to the mixing chamber of a dilution refrigerator. The devices were magnetically shielded by four cryoperm-10 cylinders surrounding the inner vacuum can, as well as additional superconducting shielding outside the sample package. The readout SQUID was connected to room temperature electronics by semi-rigid coaxial cables, filtered with 40 dB attenuator and copper powder filter. The bandwidth of the readout setup is about 1 GHz, which enabled us to quickly probe the SQUID and readout the qubit states before they are disturbed by the environment. Microwave can be applied to the qubit through microwave coaxial cables and a loop inductively coupled to the qubit.

The measurement procedure consisted of three steps: initializing, manipulating, and probing. For each measurement trial (Fig. 2), we first initialized the qubit in the ground state $|0\rangle$ by waiting a sufficient long time (typically 1 to 10 ms). Then a microwave pulse with duration time $t_{\text{pul}} \sim 0.01$ to 10 μs was applied to manipulate the qubit. After the microwaves were turned off, a probing current pulse was sent to the SQUID to read out the qubit state. The current pulse rise time is 5 ns. The current was held constant at $I_0$ for 10 ns, then decreased to $I_0/2$ and kept there for $t_{\text{hold}} \approx 20$ μs before it was decreased to 0. For the qubit in the clockwise (counter-clockwise) persistent current state, the SQUID has higher (lower) switching current, the $I_0$ was chosen so that when the qubit is in counter-clockwise (clockwise) persistent current state, the SQUID will (not) switch to voltage state. The switching probability is thus proportional to the population of the qubit in the counter-clockwise persistent current state, or right well. The voltage across the SQUID was sent to a counter. The $t_{\text{hold}}$ was set for triggering the counter with the highest fidelity. It is worth noting that we did not need to probe the qubit state immediately after turning off the microwave. We let the system freely evolve for a period of time $t_{\text{delay}}$ and the decay of the population of the qubit excited state gives the energy relaxation time. The measurement procedure was repeated a thousand times to get the switching probability with a small statistical error. Fig. 3 shows the measured switching probability as a function of the external magnetic field at the base temperature (15 mK) of our dilution refrigerator. No microwaves were applied; therefore, the qubit was always in ground state $|0\rangle$. For $\Phi_0 / 2 < \Phi_0$, the population in the right well is less than 1% because the energy of the particle in the left well is much lower than that in right well and the system is localized in the left well. With the magnetic field increased, the energy of the right well decreases while that of the left well increases. For $\Phi_0 / 2 > \Phi_0$ the right well became energetically preferable and the qubit has a 100% probability of being in the right well. This plot indicates our readout has fidelity higher than 99%.

We can manipulate the qubit state with a microwave pulse after the qubit state is initialized in the ground state. When the microwave frequency matches the energy separation $\Delta E$ between the ground state and excited state, the qubit can be pumped to the excited state. Fig. 4 shows the qubit population as a function of magnetic field with $\nu = 10$ GHz microwave irradiation. The pulse width is $t_{\text{pul}} = 1$ μs. When the magnetic field was biased at about 5 mΦ₀, $\Delta E = \nu$, and the qubit was pumped to the excited state. The population in the excited state increased substantially; therefore, a resonant peak (dip) was observed there. If we applied a microwave pulse with a different frequency, the resonant position of the peak and dip moved with the applied magnetic field to satisfy $\Delta E = \nu$. Therefore, by gradually changing the microwave frequency and measuring the corresponding distance of the resonant peak and dip, we can map out the energy band structure of our qubit, as shown in the inset of Fig. 4.

After the microwave irradiation is turned off, the qubit will relax to the ground state in the time scale of the energy relaxation time $T_1^*$. The microwave irradiation pumped the qubit to the excited state at resonant peak. The peak amplitude is proportional to the population on the excited state. Therefore, we can measure $T_1^*$ by measuring the peak amplitude as a function of the probing pulse delay time $t_{\text{delay}}$. Fig. 5 shows an example of
used spin boson model, $T_1$ and $T_2$ are related to each other from [19], [20],
\[ T_1^{-1} \approx \frac{\pi q_0 \sin^2 \theta \Delta E}{\hbar}, \]
\[ T_2^{-1} = \frac{T_1^{-1}}{2} + \frac{2 \pi q_0 k_B T \cos^2 \theta}{\hbar}, \]
where $\eta \approx \tan^{-1}(\Delta/\Delta E)$ is the mixing angle, $\Delta$ is the tunneling amplitude between the wells, and $q_0 \approx 1/Q$ is the quantum damping parameter [21], [22] which we estimate using our measured $Q$ value. For Nb PC qubit with a gap $\Delta \approx 2$ GHz and operating at $\Delta E \approx 4$ GHz, a conservative estimate gives $T_2 \approx 10 \mu s$ at 15 mK. We emphasize that an ohmic environment model may not adequately describe all sources of decoherence; these times must be viewed as estimates pending experimental verification. Nonetheless, for a typical Rabi frequency $\Omega = 1$ GHz, we obtained a quantum quality factor $> 10^4$, larger than the oft-quoted basic requirement for error-tolerant QC.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we directly measured the energy relaxation time of a Nb-based PC qubit using the time-resolved fast measurement scheme. The energy relaxation time is about 10 $\mu$s with a system $Q$ factor of greater than $10^5$, indicating that our qubit is well-isolated from the electromagnetic environment. Our experiments demonstrated good prospects for well-fabricated Nb junctions, with its more mature technology, to be used as superconducting qubits.
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