Dispersing biofilms with engineered enzymatic bacteriophage
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Synthetic biology involves the engineering of biological organisms by using modular and generalizable designs with the ultimate goal of developing useful solutions to real-world problems. One such problem involves bacterial biofilms, which are crucial in the pathogenesis of many clinically important infections and are difficult to eradicate because they exhibit resistance to antimicrobial treatments and removal by host immune systems. To address this issue, we engineered bacteriophage to express a biofilm-degrading enzymatic enzyme during infection to simultaneously attack the bacterial cells in the biofilm and the biofilm matrix, which is composed of extracellular polymeric substances. We show that the efficacy of biofilm removal by this two-pronged enzymatic bacteriophage strategy is significantly greater than that of nonenzymatic bacteriophage treatment. Our engineered enzymatic phage substantially reduced bacterial biofilm cell counts by ~4.5 orders of magnitude (~99.997% removal), which was about two orders of magnitude better than that of nonenzymatic phage. This work demonstrates the feasibility and benefits of using engineered enzymatic bacteriophage to reduce bacterial biofilms and the applicability of synthetic biology to an important medical and industrial problem.

Over the last few years, synthetic biology has enabled the development of many engineered biological devices and cells with interesting and well modeled characteristics (1–3). At the same time, new technologies for more cost-effective DNA synthesis and sequencing have been reported (4). These advances will allow for large-scale synthetic genomes to be designed and built with much greater ease than is currently possible with traditional molecular biology methods. Synthetic biologists have begun to address important real-world problems by modifying organisms to produce artemisinin precursors (5), developing bacteria that can target cancerous cells (6), and producing new antimicrobial peptides (7), to name a few examples (2). Synthetic biology is distinguished from traditional genetic engineering through the use of modularity, abstraction, and standardization to allow generalized principles and designs to be applied to different scenarios. In this work, we engineered bacteriophage with biofilm-degrading enzymatic activity to create a synthetic biology platform for eradicating bacterial biofilms.

Biofilms frequently live in biofilms, which are surface-associated communities encased in a hydrated extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) matrix that is composed of polysaccharides, proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids and helps maintain a complex heterogeneous structure (8, 9). Biofilms constitute an essential and protective lifestyle for bacteria in many different natural and man-made environments, including dental plaques, water pipes, medical devices, and industrial systems (10). Bacterial biofilms have been implicated as a source of persistent infection and contamination in medical, industrial, and food processing settings due to inherent resistance to antimicrobial agents and host defenses (8, 11–13). Thus, there exists a growing need for novel and effective treatments targeted at biofilms, particularly in light of the continually worsening problem of antibiotic resistance and the discovery that antibiotic use can even induce biofilm formation (14, 15).

Bacteriophage treatment has been proposed as one method for controlling bacterial biofilms (16). Phage have been used since the early 20th century to treat bacterial infections, especially in Eastern Europe, and have been shown to decrease biofilm formation (16, 17). For example, phage T4 can infect and replicate within Escherichia coli biofilms and disrupt biofilm morphology by killing bacterial cells (18–20). Phage have also been modified to extend their natural host range. E. coli, which produces the K1 polysaccharide capsule, is normally resistant to infection by T7 but is susceptible to T7 that has been designed to express K1-5 endolysin (21). Enzymatic degradation of EPS components is another useful strategy for disrupting biofilms, although bacterial cells are not killed (8, 22, 23). For instance, enzymatic degradation of a cell-bound EPS polysaccharide adhesin known as polymeric β-1,6-N-acetyl-d-glucosamine by exogenously applied dispersin B (DspB) has been demonstrated to reduce biofilms of several different species of bacteria (22). DspB, an enzyme that is produced by Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans, hydrolyzes β-1,6-N-acetyl-d-glucosamine, a crucial adhesin needed for biofilm formation and integrity in Staphylococcus and E. coli, including E. coli K-12, as well as clinical isolates (22). Reports of natural lytic phage with phage-borne polysaccharide depolymerases have shown that phage-induced lysis and EPS degradation are used in combination in natural systems to reduce bacterial biofilms (24, 25). These depolymerases appear to be carried on the surfaces of phage and degrade bacterial capsular polysaccharides to allow access to bacterial cell surfaces (24). However, the chance that one can isolate a natural phage that is both specific for the bacteria to be targeted and expresses a relevant EPS-degrading enzyme is likely to be low (26).

Therefore, we propose a modular design strategy in which phage that kill bacteria in a species-specific manner are engineered to express the most effective EPS-degrading enzymes specific to the target biofilm. This strategy should permit the development of a diverse library of biofilm-dispersing phage rather than trying to isolate such phage from the environment. By multiplying within the biofilm and hijacking the bacterial machinery, engineered enzymatically active phage should be able to achieve high local concentrations of both enzyme and lytic phage to target multiple biofilm components, even with small initial phage inoculations. Rapid phage replication with subsequent bacterial lysis and expression of biofilm-degrading enzymes is therefore expected to rapidly disrupt biofilms.
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enzymes should render this two-pronged attack strategy an efficient, autocatalytic method for removing bacterial biofilms in environmental, industrial, and clinical settings (Fig. 1). This design also removes the need to express, purify, and deliver large doses of enzyme to specific sites of infection that may be difficult to access and should improve the efficacy of phage therapy at removing biofilms. Increasingly cost-effective genome sequencing and synthetic biology technologies, which include the refactoring of phage genomes and large-scale DNA synthesis (2, 27, 28), should further enable the production of engineered enzymatic phage and significantly extend the limited repertoire of biofilm-degrading phage that have been isolated from the environment.

Results

Design of Enzymatically Active Bacteriophage. As a proof-of-principle design of artificial biofilm-degrading bacteriophage, we engineered T7, an E. coli-specific phage (29, 30), to express DspB intracellularly during infection so DspB would be released into the extracellular environment upon cell lysis (Fig. 1). We used a modified T7 strain (Novagen T7select415-1) with several deletions of nonessential genes (Fig. 2A). We cloned the gene coding for DspB (dspb) under the control of the strong T7 ø10 promoter so that dspb would be strongly transcribed by T7 RNA polymerase during infection (Fig. 2B). As a control, we cloned an S-Tag insert into the T7 genome so that no DspB would be produced (Fig. 2C).

To test the effectiveness of our engineered phage against pregrown biofilm, we cultivated E. coli TG1(lacI:kan) biofilms in LB media on plastic pegs by using the standardized MBEC biofilm cultivation system. We used E. coli TG1 as the target biofilm strain because TG1 forms a thick, mature biofilm and contains the F plasmid (31). The F plasmid enhances biofilm maturation along with other biofilm-promoting factors in E. coli, including β-1,6-N-acetyl-d-glucosamine, flagellum, cellulose, curli, antigen 43, and other conjugative pili and cell surface adhesins (31, 32). Because T7 is unable to replicate efficiently in F-plasmid-containing E. coli, gene I.2 from T3 phage was also cloned into the unique BciI site in our engineered T7 phage and control T7 phage to circumvent F-plasmid-mediated exclusion and extend the phage host range (Fig. 2B and C) (33). The control phage and engineered phage were named T7control and T7dspb, respectively (Fig. 2 B and C).

Characterization of Enzymatically Active Bacteriophage. To determine whether the T7dspb phage was more effective than the T7control phage, we first used a crystal violet (CV) assay to assess the amount of biofilm on the pegs after phage treatment. Pregrown TG1(lacI:kan) biofilm was inoculated with only LB media or infected with T7control or T7dspb phage at 10^{6} plaque forming units (PFU) per peg (Fig. 3A). To assess whether our engineered enzymatic phage was more efficacious than wild-type phage at attacking biofilm despite being made with a modified T7 phage, we also treated biofilm with wild-type T7 (T7wt) or wild-type T3 (T3wt) (Fig. 3A). After 24 h of treatment, CV staining of untreated biofilm had a 600-nm absorbance (A_{600}) approximately equal to that for T7wt-treated biofilm (Fig. 3A). Both T3wt-treated biofilm and T7control-treated biofilm were much reduced compared with the untreated biofilm: The former had an A_{600} that was lower than that of untreated biofilm by a factor of 10.3, whereas the latter had an A_{600} that was lower than that of untreated biofilm by a factor of 5.6 (Fig. 3A). The amount of biofilm left on the T7dspb-treated pegs was the least of all of the treatment types, with an A_{600} that was less by a factor of 14.5 than that of untreated biofilm and less by a factor of 2.6 than that of T7control-treated biofilm (P = 5.4 \times 10^{-5}). These findings demonstrate that DspB expression in T7dspb is crucial to elevating its biofilm-removing efficacy over that of wild-type phage and nonenzymatic T7control phage (Fig. 3A).

To confirm that the decrease in CV staining corresponded with killing of biofilm cells, we used sonication to obtain viable cell counts (CFU per peg) for bacteria surviving in the biofilms after phage treatment. Pregrown TG1(lacI:kan) biofilm (before treatment) reached a mean cell density of 6.4 \log_{10}(CFU per peg) after 24 h of growth (Fig. 3B). After 24 h of additional growth in new LB media with no phage treatment, the untreated biofilm had a mean cell density of 6.9 \log_{10}(CFU per peg) (Fig. 3B). T3wt-treated biofilm had a mean cell density of 6.9 \log_{10}(CFU per peg) (Fig. 3B). T7control-treated biofilm had a mean cell density of 5.4 \log_{10}(CFU per peg), whereas the mean cell density for T7dspb-treated biofilm was 3.2 \log_{10}(CFU per peg), the lowest of all of the treatment types (Fig. 3B). The difference in viable cells recovered from T7control-treated biofilm and T7dspb-treated biofilm was statistically significant (P = 1.2 \times 10^{-3}). These results are consistent with the CV staining data and demonstrate that DspB-expressing T7dspb phage are substantially more effective at killing E. coli TG1 biofilm compared with T3wt, T7wt, and non-DspB-expressing T7control phage.

Our two-pronged method of biofilm eradication involves expression of DspB and rapid phage replication (Fig. 1). To confirm that our phage multiplied, we obtained PFU counts...
from media in the microtiter plate wells. By 24 h of treatment, T7wt had not replicated but T3wt had multiplied significantly within the biofilm (Fig. 3C). To compare the amount of phage in the microtiter plate wells with phage residing in the biofilms, we also obtained PFU counts by sonicating the biofilms. After 24 h of treatment, PFU counts for T7control and T7DspB recovered from the microtiter plate wells were several orders of magnitude greater than PFU counts recovered by sonication of the biofilms (Fig. 3C). Overall, PFU counts obtained from the wells and the biofilms were all orders of magnitude greater than the initial inoculation of 10^3 PFU, confirming that phage multiplication indeed took place (Fig. 3C).

**Time Courses and Dose-Responses for Enzymatically Active Bacterio-phage Treatment.** Because we determined that T7DspB had greater biofilm-removing capability than T7control after 24 h of infection, we next sought to determine the time course of biofilm destruction. As shown in Fig. 4A, by 5 h after infection, T7DspB-treated biofilm had a mean cell density that was 0.82 log_{10}(CFU per peg) less than T7control-treated biofilm (P = 2.0 × 10^{-5}). At 10 h after infection, T7DspB-treated biofilm began to settle at a steady-state mean cell density between 3 and 4 log_{10}(CFU per peg), whereas T7control-treated biofilm flattened out at ~5 log_{10}(CFU per peg) by 20 h after infection (Fig. 4A). T7DspB-treated biofilms had mean cell densities that were approximately two orders of magnitude lower than T7control-treated biofilms, up to 48 h of total treatment (Fig. 4A), and, importantly, T7DspB treatment reduced biofilm levels by ~99.997% [4.5 log_{10}(CFU per peg)] compared with untreated biofilm. We found no evidence of phage resistance developing over the long time course of treatment (Fig. 4A).

We also used a SEM to image the biofilm pegs over the time course of phage treatment to directly visualize biofilm dispersal by our enzymatically active phage [Fig. 4B and C and supporting information (SI) Fig. 5]. After 20 h of treatment, T7DspB-treated biofilm (Fig. 4B) was significantly disrupted compared with the untreated biofilm (Fig. 4C). These results confirm that T7DspB indeed causes biofilm reduction and bacterial cell killing.

To verify that phage replication was occurring over time, we obtained PFU counts in the microtiter wells. As seen in Fig. 4D, both T7control and T7DspB began to replicate within the bacterial biofilm as early as 50 min after infection. By ~190 min, T7control and T7DspB PFU per peg approached steady-state levels of ~8–9 log_{10}(PFU per peg), indicating that phage replication had occurred (Fig. 4D). T7DspB PFU per peg were generally higher than T7control PFU per peg but not by orders of magnitude, as was the case for CFU counts per peg. This finding results from the T7 burst size (~250 PFU per infective center) (34) multiplied by the number of the extra cells killed by T7DspB, compared with T7control, equaling extra PFU per peg that are insignificant compared with the PFU levels already reached by T7control. We did not note any significant differences in burst sizes and growth rates between T7DspB and T7control (data not shown).

Considering that the above experiments were carried out with initial inoculations of 10^3 PFU per peg, which translates to a multiplicity of infection of ~1:10^{3.4} (Fig. 4A), we next aimed to determine the effect of changing the initial multiplicity of infection on biofilm removal. With low phage doses, repeated rounds of phage multiplication and DspB expression should promote biofilm dispersal and allow more bacterial cells to be accessible for subsequent phage infection. With high phage doses, initial DspB production after infection should also be very disruptive to biofilm integrity. As shown in Fig. 4E, T7DspB was more effective than T7control at removing biofilm at all inoculation levels tested, ranging from 10^1 PFU per peg to 10^5 PFU per peg. A dose-dependent effect of phage infection on biofilm destruction was observed, with larger inoculations leading to lower mean cell densities, particularly for T7DspB (Fig. 4E). At inoculation levels greater than or equal to 10^2 PFU per peg, mean cell densities (CFU per peg) for T7DspB-treated biofilm were significantly lower than those for T7control-treated biofilm by factors of 49–232 (Fig. 4E). Thus, at low and high initial inoculations, DspB-expressing T7 is more efficacious at disrupting E. coli TG1 biofilm compared with non-DspB-expressing control T7. Note also that all phage dosages tested exhibited phage multiplication within the biofilm (Fig. 4F). These results together suggest that DspB-expressing phage may have improved efficacy in real-world situations for which the ability to deliver high levels of phage to biofilms may be limited or for which sustained phage replication is less likely, e.g., in the gastrointestinal tract of cholera patients (35, 36).

**Discussion**

In this work, we demonstrated that engineered phage that express biofilm-degrading enzymes are more efficacious at removing bacterial biofilms than nonenzymatic phage alone. Although our results were obtained for a prototype, proof-of-principle phage, we believe that our design can be adapted to...
Fig. 4. Time-course curves, dosage–response curves, and SEM images for engineered phage treatment targeting E. coli TG1 biofilm. (A and B) Each data point represents the mean log_{10}-transformed cell density of n = 12 biofilm pegs. (D and F) Each data point represents the mean log_{10}-transformed phage counts obtained from n = 3 microtiter plate wells. Error bars indicate SEM. (A) Time course (up to 48 h) of viable cell counts for no treatment (red squares), treatment with T7control (black circles), or treatment with T7Dupb (blue crosses) demonstrates that T7Dupb significantly reduced biofilm levels compared with T7control. (B) SEM image of T7Dupb-treated biofilm after 20 h shows significant disruption of the bacterial biofilm. (C) SEM image of untreated biofilm after 20 h shows a dense biofilm. (D) Time course of phage counts obtained after initial inoculation of E. coli TG1 biofilm with 10^{6} PFU per well of T7control (black circles) or T7Dupb (blue crosses). Both T7control and T7Dupb began to replicate rapidly after initial inoculation. (E) Dose–response curves of mean cell densities (measured after 24 h of treatment) for T7control (black circles) and T7Dupb (blue crosses). For all initial phage inoculations, T7Dupb-treated biofilm had significantly lower mean cell densities compared with T7control-treated biofilm. (F) Dose–response curves of mean phage counts (measured after 24 h of treatment) for T7control (black circles) and T7Dupb (blue crosses). For all initial phage inoculations, both T7control and T7Dupb multiplied significantly. (Scale bars, 10 μm.)

work in other phage and with other biofilm-degrading enzymes to target a wide range of biofilms. Thus, engineered bacteriophage treatment should be considered as an addition to the therapies available for use against bacterial biofilms in medical, industrial, and biotechnological settings (17). Future improvements to this design may include directed evolution for optimal enzyme activity, delaying cell lysis or using multiple phage promoters to allow for increased enzyme production, targeting multiple biofilm EPS components with different proteins as well as targeting multispecies biofilm with a mixture of different species-specific engineered enzymatically active phage, and combination therapy with antibiotics and phage to improve the efficacy of both types of treatment.

Phage therapy has begun to be accepted in industrial and biotechnological settings. For example, the Food and Drug Administration recently approved the use of phage targeted at Listeria monocytogenes as a food additive (37). However, phage therapy has several challenges that must be overcome before it will be accepted in Western medicine for treating humans (17). These problems include the lack of properly designed clinical trials to date (17), development of phage resistance (26, 36, 38), phage immunogenicity in the human body and clearance by the reticuloendothelial system (26, 35), the release of toxins upon bacterial lysis (26), and phage specificity (26). Fortunately, many of these concerns are currently being studied and addressed. For example, combination therapy with antibiotics and phage may alleviate the development of phage resistance (26, 36, 38). Long-circulating phage can be isolated that can avoid reticuloendothelial system clearance to increase in vivo efficacy (35). The problem of phage clearance is an important one that needs to be solved as it may make phage therapy more useful for treating transient infections rather than chronic ones. Nonlytic and nonreplicative phage have been engineered to kill bacteria while minimizing endotoxin release (39, 40). Progress is also being made in the development of toxine-free phage preparations (41).

The specificity of phage for host bacteria is both an advantage and a disadvantage for phage therapy. Specificity allows humans cells as well as innocuous bacteria to be spared, potentially avoiding serious issues, such as drug toxicity or Clostridium difficile overgrowth that can arise with antibiotic use. C. difficile infection is characterized by diarrhea and colitis and has increased in severity in recent years (42). Antibiotic therapy is believed to alter the microbial flora in the colon due to lack of target specificity, thus allowing C. difficile to proliferate and cause disease (43). Furthermore, the ability of our engineered phage to use the local bacterial synthetic machinery to produce biofilm-degrading enzymes means that exogenously applied enzymes, which could have unintended effects on off-target biofilms, are not needed. However, host specificity means that a well characterized library of phage must be maintained so that an appropriate therapy can be designed for each individual infection (26). The diversity of bacterial infections implies that it may be difficult for any particular engineered phage to be a therapeutic solution for a wide range of biofilms. Indeed, phage therapy generally requires the use of phage cocktails to cover a range of target bacteria.

Overcoming the difficulty of creating a collection of enzymatically active engineered phage is a problem that can be solved by new cost-effective, large-scale DNA sequencing and DNA synthesis technologies (2, 4, 44). Sequencing technologies will allow
the characterization of collections of natural phage that have been used in phage typing and phage therapy for many years (45, 46). Once these phage have been better understood, synthesis technologies should enable the addition of biofilm-degrading enzymes to produce new, modified phage. Furthermore, rational engineering methods with new synthesis technologies can be used to broaden phage host range. For example, T7 has been modified to express K1-5 endosialidase, allowing it to effectively replicate in *E. coli* that produce the K1 polysaccharide capsule (21). In this study, we took advantage of gene 1.2 from phage T3 to extend our phage host range to include *E. coli* that contain the F plasmid, thus demonstrating that multiple modifications of a phage genome can be done without significant impairment of the phage’s ability to replicate (33). *Bordetella* bacteriophage use an intriguing reverse-transcriptase-mediated mechanism to produce diversity in host tropism, which may provide inspiration for future designs (47, 48). In addition, using enzymes such as DspB, which target important adhesins that are common to a broad range of bacterial species, including clinical strains, should also help enzymatically active phage be applicable to a greater number of infections (22). Along these lines, the many biofilm-promoting factors required by *E. coli* K-12 to produce a mature biofilm are likely to be shared among different biofilm-forming bacterial strains and are thus potential targets for engineered enzymatic bacteriophage (32).

**Conclusion**

Because antibiotic resistance in biofilms poses a significant hurdle to eliminating biofilms with conventional antimicrobial drugs, new antibiofilm strategies, such as phage therapy, should be explored. Novel synthetic biology technologies should enable the engineering of natural phage with biofilm-degrading enzymes to produce libraries of enzymatically active phage, which could complement efforts to screen for new biofilm-degrading bacteriophages in the environment. Once bacteriophage therapy itself becomes better understood and used, engineered bacteriophage with biofilm-degrading enzymatic activity could become a viable option in meeting the challenge of biofilm control in environmental, industrial, and clinical settings.

**Materials and Methods**

**Bacterial Strains, Bacteriophage, and Chemicals.** *E. coli* TG1 [F\textsuperscript{traD36 lacA\textsuperscript{lacZ-M15 proA\textsuperscript{B+ supE D\textleft(abdm-merC-B\textright)} (\textit{r}_{G} \ m_{R} \ MerB\textsuperscript{-}) thi Δ(lac-proAB)] was obtained from Zymo Research (Orange, CA). The strain TG1 (lac\textright;kan\textleft) used to grow biofilm was created by one-step inactivation of the lac\textright gene by a kanamycin-resistance cassette (49). *E. coli* BL21 was obtained from Novagen (San Diego, CA). Wild-type T7 (ATCC no. BAA-1025-B2) and T3 (ATCC no. 11303-B3) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). Standard chemicals were obtained from sources as described in *SI Materials and Methods.*

**Construction and Purification of Engineered Phage.** Our engineered T7 phage was created by using the T7select415-1 phage display system (Novagen) with standard molecular biology techniques. Instead of cloning DspB on to the phage surface, we designed the T7select phage to express DspB intracellularly during infection. The *dspb* gene was cloned from *A. actinomycetemcomitans* genomic DNA (ATCC no. 700685D) under the control of the strong T7 \textleft\textup{p} promoter downstream of the T7select415-1 \textup{IB} capsid gene and stop codons in all three reading frames to create T7DspB-precursor (Fig. 2B). Packaging of the modified genome was done with the T7select packaging extracts. The control phage, T7control-precursor, was constructed by cloning the T7select control \textup{S}Tag insert into the T7select415-1 genome (Fig. 2C). Because T7\textup{net} cannot replicate normally in F-plasmid-containing *E. coli*, we cloned gene 1.2 from phage T3 into the unique BclI site in T7DspB-precursor and T7control-precursor to create T7DspB and T7control, respectively, which are able to escape exclusion by the F plasmid (Fig. 2B and C) (33). The resulting phage were amplified on *E. coli* BL21 and plated on *E. coli* TG1(lac\textright;kan) to isolate T7DspB (Fig. 2B) and T7control (Fig. 2C), which were confirmed by PCR to have T3 gene 1.2. Details are available in *SI Materials and Methods.*

Before biofilm treatment, T7DspB and T7control were amplified on *E. coli* BL21 and purified. Twelve milliliters of BL21 overnight cultures were diluted with 12 ml of LB in 125 ml flasks, inoculated with 30 \mu{l} of high-titer phage stock, and allowed to lyse at 37°C and 300 rpm (model G25 incubator shaker, New Brunswick Scientific) for 3 h. Lysed cultures were clarified by centrifuging for 10 min at 10,000 \times g and filtering the supernatants through 0.2-\mu m filters (catalog no. 190-2520; Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY). The purified solutions were centrifuged in a Beckman SW.41T rotor for 1 h at 150,000 \times g to concentrate the phage. The supernatants were removed, and pellets were resuspended in 0.2 M NaCl/2 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)/0.2 mM EDTA. Phage suspensions were reclarified in tabletop microcentrifuges at maximum speed (~16,100 \times g) for 10 min. The purified supernatants were finally diluted in 0.2 M NaCl/2 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)/0.2 mM EDTA for treatment. Appropriate amounts of phage were added to LB plus 30 \mu{g}/ml kanamycin for treatment, as described below. Phage purified by this protocol were no more effective at reducing bacterial biofilm levels compared with phage purified by centrifugation with CsCl step gradients (data not shown).

All phage PFU counts were determined by combining phage with 300 \mu{l} of overnight *E. coli* BL21 culture and 4–5 ml of 50°C LB top agar [0.7% (wt/vol) agar]. This solution was mixed thoroughly, poured onto LB agar plates, inverted after hardening, and incubated for 4–6 h at 37°C until plaques were clearly visible.

**Biofilm Growth and Treatment.** All experiments were performed in LB media plus 30 \mu{g}/ml kanamycin. *E. coli* biofilms were grown with an MBEC Physiology and Genetics Assay (MBEC Bio-Products, Edmonton, Canada), which consists of a 96-peg lid that fits into a standard 96-well microtiter plate. Each well was inoculated with 30 \mu{l} of high-titer phage stock, and allowed to lyse at 37°C and 300 rpm (model G25 incubator shaker). Control wells with only media but no bacteria were included. MBEC lids were placed in the microtiter plates, inserted into plastic bags to prevent evaporation, and placed in a Minitron shaker (Inforis HT, Bottmingen, Switzerland) for 24 h at 35°C and 150 rpm to form biofilm on the pegs.

For all treatments except for the dose–response experiment, 10\textsuperscript{3} PFU of phage were combined with 200 \mu{l} of LB plus 30 \mu{g}/ml kanamycin in each well in new microtiter plates (Costar 3370; Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). For the dose–response experiment, 10\textsuperscript{1}, 10\textsuperscript{2}, 10\textsuperscript{3}, or 10\textsuperscript{4} PFU were combined with 200 \mu{l} of LB plus 30 \mu{g}/ml kanamycin in each well. Wells with only media but no phage were included as untreated biofilm controls. MBEC lids with 24-h pregrown *E. coli* biofilm were removed from their old 96-well microtiter plates and placed into the new microtiter plates and back into plastic bags in a shaker at 35°C and 150 rpm for treatment. After specified amounts of time for the time-course experiment or 24 h for all other experiments, MBEC lids were removed and the amounts of biofilm remaining were assayed by CV staining or viable cell counting, as described below.

**CV Staining Assay.** After rinsing the MBEC pegs three times with 1× PBS, CV staining was carried out according to a standard, previously reported protocol as described in *SI Materials and Methods* (50).
**Viable Cell Count Assay.** We obtained viable cell counts by disrupting biofilms on the pegs in a sonicating water bath. MBEC pegs were first rinsed three times with 200 μl of 1× PBS and placed into fresh microtiter plates (catalog no. 262162; Nunc) containing 145 μl of 1× PBS in each well, which completely covered the biofilms growing on the pegs. To prevent further infection of bacteria by phage, 20 ng of T7 Tail Fiber Monoclonal Antibody (Novagen) was added to each well. MBEC lids and plates were placed in a Ultrasonics 5510 sonic water bath (Branson, Danbury, CT) and sonicated for 30 min at 40 kHz to dislodge bacteria in biofilms into the wells. Serial dilutions were performed and plated on plates with LB agar plus 30 μg/ml kanamycin. CFU were counted after overnight incubation at 37°C.

**SEM.** SEM was performed according to MBEC recommendations as described in *SI Materials and Methods* (51).

**Phage Counts.** At indicated time points (Fig. 4D) or after 24 h of treatment (Fig. 3C and Fig. 4F), media from n = 3 microtiter wells for each treatment type were serially diluted to obtain PFU containing 1× PBS and 30 μl of T7 Tail Fiber Monoclonal Antibody (Novagen) was added to each well. MBEC lids and plates were placed in a Ultrasonics 5510 sonic water bath (Branson, Danbury, CT) and sonicated for 30 min at 40 kHz to dislodge biofilms into biofilms in the wells. Serial dilutions were performed and plated on plates with LB agar plus 30 μg/ml kanamycin. CFU were counted after overnight incubation at 37°C.

**Statistical Analysis.** Student’s unpaired two-sided t test was used to test for statistical significance as described in *SI Materials and Methods*. For the CV staining assays, the data set size for each treatment type was n = 16; for the CFU assays, n = 12 pegs per treatment type were used.
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**COSMOLOGY**

**Gone without a trace**


“What happened before the Big Bang?” used to be a silly question, as time and space were thought to have begun there. But some theories of quantum gravity suggest that the Big Bang was a transition rather than a beginning, such that scientists might think about — and perhaps even observe — what went before.

Even so, says Martin Bojowald of Pennsylvania State University, limits will still apply to what we can learn. He shows, for a very simple quantum model of the Big Bang, that some of the conditions ‘before’ leave no discernible imprint on what comes ‘after’. In particular, if the pre-Big Bang Universe was a mass of quantum fluctuations, essentially all information about these would have been lost in the Big Bang itself.

**MATERIALS SCIENCE**

**Squared to perfection**


The geometric pattern shown below is an electron-microscope image of the surface of a crystal of a perovskite mineral. Its repeating structure has “extraordinary perfection,” according to Beth Guiton and Peter Davies at the University of Pennsylvania in Philadelphia.

The pattern appears in a perovskite containing lithium and neodymium. The researchers propose that the material phase separates into square lithium-rich regions a few nanometres across, separated by lithium-poor stripes. Bumping up the ratio of lithium to neodymium tunes the pattern’s periodicity, increasing the size of the squares.

The team suggests the oxide’s surface could serve as a template for the assembly of nanoscale objects such as tubes or wires.

**BIOCHEMISTRY**

**Game of tag**


Chemists have created a new fluorescent tag to label proteins and quantitatively monitor their interactions.

The tag belongs to a class of small molecules called biarsenical multi-use affinity probes (MAPs). These probes bind specific amino-acid sequences and are smaller than the fluorescent proteins commonly used as labels, making them less likely to interfere with protein function or localization.

Uljana Mayer and her colleagues at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in Richland, Washington, created a MAP that incorporates a red dye named Cy3 and recognizes a different amino-acid sequence to previously available MAPs. The individual probes can be used to simultaneously label different proteins, and interactions between two probes can be used to monitor protein–protein interactions.

**BIOTECHNOLOGY**

**Film ends badly**


Bacteria hunkered down inside a biofilm can be targeted by bacteriophages — bacteria-destroying viruses — that have been armed with tools to break through the bacteria’s biofilm defences, show Timothy Lu and Jim Collins of Boston University in Massachusetts.

Biofilms can help bacteria to thrive in places they’re not wanted, such as in medical devices, by protecting the bacterial cells within a tough matrix of sugars, proteins and other components. These films are hard to remove, or to treat with antibiotics.

Lu and Collins genetically engineered a biofilm-busting enzyme into the genome of phage T7, which targets *Escherichia coli*. The enzyme breaks apart the biofilm matrix, so that the phage can attack the bacteria, hijacking their replication machinery for its own multiplication and then killing the bacterial cells. This offers a strategy for creating armies of phages tailor-made to attack biofilms of different bacterial pathogens.
The topology of the Internet

The Internet has a dense core and a sparse fringe, and fractal properties in between, conclude Shai Carmi et al. after a mathematical analysis of Internet topology. The authors considered how the Internet’s major nodes (Internet service providers and large organizations) are connected. They assigned each node to a “k-shell” according to the number of links, $k$, it has to other nodes closer to the center of the Internet. At its core, the Internet consists of a dense, heavily connected nucleus of close to 100 nodes including Google and ATT Worldnet. Surrounding this is a region termed the “peer-connected component” and a lightly connected periphery. Within $\sim 4$ links, it is possible to get from anywhere in the peer-connected component to anywhere else. However, nodes in the periphery are connected to others only through the nucleus. Information could therefore be routed through the peer-connected component to improve overall capacity and to avoid congestion. The authors calculated the fractal dimension of the network’s outer “crust” as a function of crust thickness, $k$, and found a transition from nonfractal to fractal as $k$ approaches 6. This transition is associated with a percolation threshold at $k = 6$, similar to those seen in other scale-free networks. — K.M.

“A model of Internet topology using k-shell decomposition” by Shai Carmi, Shlomo Havlin, Scott Kirkpatrick, Yuval Shavitt, and Eran Shir (see pages 11150–11154)

Breaking up (biofilms) is no longer hard to do

Aggregated in biofilms, bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* or *Staphylococcus aureus* are resistant to antibiotics. The bacteria secrete an extracellular matrix that shields them from the environment. As a result, biofilms are often found in clinical infections that are difficult to eradicate. Timothy Lu and James Collins genetically engineered a strain of bacteriophage that is not only lethal to a specific strain of *E. coli*, but expresses an enzyme that digests a structural biofilm component. The engineered virus is much more effective than the T7 phage at dispersing biofilms and killing bacteria. The authors began with the T7 phage and added the gene for DspB, an enzyme that hydrolyzes a key biofilm adhesin. They also added gene 1.2 from the T3 phage, which enables phages to replicate in thick biofilms. According to absorption measurements, DspB expression boosted biofilm breakup by a factor of 2.6. The engineered phage also reduced the viable bacteria count by 4.5 orders of magnitude, an improvement by a factor of 80 over control T7. The authors predict that a similar two-pronged approach to biofilm control could be customized to treat resistant bacterial infections. — K.M.

“Dispersing biofilms with engineered enzymatic bacteriophage” by Timothy K. Lu and James J. Collins (see pages 11197–11202)
Blasting Biofilms
Specially tailored viruses could eradicate chronic bacterial infections.
By Katherine Bourzac, SM ’04

When bacteria team up in sticky communities called biofilms, they can be nearly impossible for conventional antibiotics to eradicate. Biofilms, which often form on hard surfaces such as catheters and water pipes, can cause gingivitis and chronic ear infections. They can also clog up pipes, causing environmental and industrial problems.

Working with Boston University synthetic biologist James Collins, Harvard-MIT graduate student Timothy Lu has designed a new, highly effective means of dispersing and killing the bacteria living in biofilms. The two researchers have engineered viruses that attack both the bacteria and the carbohydrates that hold them together--eliminating, for example, more than 99 percent of the E. coli in a model biofilm. If such bacteria-attacking viruses prove safe for industrial and clinical use, researchers could develop stocks of different kinds of viruses, each tailored to attack a different kind of biofilm.

Because they are protected by a sticky carbohydrate scaffold called a matrix, bacteria living communally in biofilms are a thousand times as resistant to antibiotics as free-swimming bacteria are, says Collins. The matrix blocks antibiotics and human immune-system cells; it even provides something like a primitive circulatory system, distributing nutrients to cells throughout the biofilm.

Large doses of antibiotics can usually eradicate these infections, but there is some worry that drug-resistant biofilm infections are becoming more common. New treatments are needed for recurrent infections, such as chronic ear infections in children, that antibiotics knock down only temporarily. What's more, some evidence suggests that the use of antibiotics actually induces biofilm formation.

Collins and Lu select a naturally occurring virus that already attacks a troublesome bacterium, such as E. coli or Staphylococcus. They then insert a new gene into the virus, one that codes for an enzyme that dissolves the main carbohydrate component of the biofilm matrix. (Naturally occurring viruses can attack biofilms, but the researchers' genetic modifications increased viruses' effectiveness by two orders of magnitude.) The viruses they work with, called bacteriophages, specialize in infecting bacteria. They replicate inside bacterial cells; burst them open, killing the bacteria; and
then spread to other bacterial cells. But bacteriophages do not harm animal cells or bacteria other than their targets.

The new technique will allow researchers to build bacteriophage cocktails for attacking all kinds of biofilms. Because industrial standards are easier to meet than U.S. Food and Drug Administration requirements, the first such cocktails are likely to show up in industry rather than in the clinic.

Copyright Technology Review 2007.
Team builds viruses to combat harmful 'biofilms'

A big step forward for synthetic biology

Elizabeth Dougherty, Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology
July 6, 2007

In one of the first potential applications of synthetic biology, an emerging field that aims to design and build useful biomolecular systems, researchers from MIT and Boston University are engineering viruses to attack and destroy the surface "biofilms" that harbor harmful bacteria in the body and on industrial and medical devices.

They have already successfully demonstrated one such virus, and thanks to a "plug and play" library of "parts" believe that many more could be custom-designed to target different species or strains of bacteria.

The work, reported in the July 3 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, helps vault synthetic biology from an abstract science to one that has proven practical applications. "Our results show we can do simple things with synthetic biology that have potentially useful results," says first author Timothy Lu, a doctoral student in the Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology.

Bacterial biofilms can form almost anywhere, even on your teeth if you don't brush for a day or two. When they accumulate in hard to reach places such as the insides of food processing machines or medical catheters, however, they become persistent sources of infection.

These bacteria excrete a variety of proteins, polysaccharides, and nucleic acids that together with other accumulating materials form an extracellular matrix, or in Lu's words, a "slimy layer," that encases the bacteria. Traditional remedies such as antibiotics are not as effective on these bacterial biofilms as they are on free-floating bacteria. In some cases, antibiotics even encourage bacterial biofilms to form.

Lu and senior author James Collins, professor of biomedical engineering at BU, aim to eradicate these biofilms using bacteriophage, tiny viruses that attack bacteria. Phage have long been used in Eastern Europe and Russia to treat infection.

For a phage to be effective against a biofilm, it must both attack the strain of bacteria in the film and degrade the film itself. Recently, a different group of researchers discovered several phages in sewage that meet both criteria because, among other things, they carry enzymes capable of degrading a biofilm's extracellular matrix.

This discovery led Lu and Collins to consider engineering phages to carry enzymes with similar capabilities. Why? Finding a good naturally occurring combination for a given industrial or medical problem is difficult. Plus, "people don't want to dig through sewage to find these phages," says Lu.

So Collins and Lu defined a modular system that allows engineers to design phages to target specific biofilms. As a proof of concept, they used their strategy to engineer T7, an Escherichia coli-specific phage, to express dispersin B (DspB), an enzyme known to disperse a variety of biofilms.

To test the engineered T7 phage, the team cultivated E. coli biofilms on plastic pegs. They found that their engineered phage eliminated 99.997% of the bacterial biofilm cells, an improvement by two orders of magnitude over the phage's nonengineered cousin.

The team's modular strategy can be thought of as a "plug and play" library, says Collins. "The library could contain different phages that target different species or strains of bacteria, each constructed using related design principles to express different enzymes."

Creating such a library may soon be feasible with new technologies for synthesizing genes quickly and cheaply. "We hope in a few years, it will be easy to create libraries of phage that we know have a good chance of working a priori because we know so much about their inner-workings," says Lu.

Synthetic biology also makes it possible to control the timing of when a gene is expressed in an organism. For instance, Lu inserted the DspB genes into a precise location in the T7 genome so that the phage would strongly express it during infection rather than before or after. Such control was possible because T7 was extremely well characterized by other researchers such as MIT synthetic
biologist Drew Endy, an assistant professor of biological engineering.

Though phages are not approved for use in humans in the United States, recently the FDA approved a phage cocktail to treat Listeria monocytogenes on lunchmeat. This makes certain applications, such as cleaning products that include phages to clear slime in food processing plants, more immediately promising. Another potential application: phage-containing drugs for use in livestock in exchange for or in combination with antibiotics.

This work is supported by the Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation. Lu was supported by a Howard Hughes Medical Institute predoctoral fellowship and an HST Medical Engineering/Medical Physics fellowship.
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