

Question 1: Which single concept can capture all mechanisms of line broadening?

Answer 1: All line broadening mechanisms can be described in terms of a timescale, by virtue of the Fourier theorem. This timescale is generally the correlation time associated with coherent observation of the phase evolution of our system.

Question 2: When using the Ramsey method to do spectroscopy on an atomic beam, is the linewidth limited by the interaction time (set by the atomic velocity and the separation between interrogations  $L$ ,  $t = L/v$ ) or by the natural lifetime  $1/\Gamma$ ?

Answer 2: The linewidth is limited by the interaction time. Spontaneous emission does not happen for every atom at  $t=1/\Gamma$ , indeed some live well into the exponential tail. It is possible to beat the linewidth set by  $\Gamma$  using the Ramsey method!

Question 3: Suppose we have a two-level atom (with an excited state  $\omega_{ge}$  above the ground state) of mass  $M$  at rest. We then shine a single mode laser on the atom and scan its frequency  $\omega$  until the atom is excited. Is  $\omega = \omega_{ge}$ ? Why or why not?

Answer 3: No, since the laser must provide enough energy to account for both internal excitation of the atom, and the kinetic energy due to the photon recoil  $\hbar^2 k^2 / 2M$ , so that  $\omega = \omega_{ge} + \hbar^2 k^2 / 2M$ .

Question 4: Suppose we have two mechanisms of line broadening acting on the same gas of atoms. Mechanism 1 (M1) is Gaussian distributed and 1 GHz wide, while M2 is Lorentzian distributed and 1 MHz wide. M1 therefore dominates the overall linewidth. Where can we ignore M2, and where must we be more careful?

Answer 4: It is safe to ignore M2 near the resonance, where the Gaussian is still the dominant source of line broadening. But a Lorentzian falls off much more slowly than a Gaussian does, so we must be careful about neglecting M2 once we look at the response far away from the resonance.